



Comments to the petition have been filed by the respondent No.8, which are taken on record, wherein he has stated that the petitioner is trying to encroach upon the land of respondent No.6.

As per his own contention, the alleged boundary wall of the petitioner was demolished by the respondent No.3 which shows apparently that the same was erected by him encroaching upon government land. If the petitioner claims that the land on which he is raising construction of wall is not the public property, he should approach to relevant Tribunal established under Section 12 of the Sindh Public Property (Removal of Encroachment) Act, 2010 and if he has any issue in respect of demarcation of his land, he may approach to Mukhtiarkar concerned for redressal of his grievances in terms of Rule 67-A of the Sindh Land Revenue Rules, 1968, otherwise for seeking restraining order as sought by the petitioner, the proper forum is the civil Court having jurisdiction to entertain such dispute. The said remedies are efficacious remedy for the redressal of the petitioner's grievance. This petition is; therefore, being not maintainable in law is dismissed alongwith pending application, if any.

JUDGE

JUDGE