## ORDER SHEET

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P.No.S-991 OF 2017

**DATE** 

## ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)

For hearing of main case.

## 12.10.2020

Mr. Wali Muhammad Jamari, Assistant A.G.

=

None present for the petitioner. Similar was the case on the last date of hearing as well as on 08.11.2019 when it was observed that if on the next date, counsel for the petitioner does not appear, appropriate orders shall be passed by the Court.

This petition has been filed against concurrent findings of the Courts below in a family matter, where disputed questions of facts based on evidence have been assailed as to the enlistment and recovery of dowry articles and maintenance, which cannot be entertained in a Constitutional petition. Further, it is not being persuaded effectively since its inception.

In the given circumstances where learned Courts below while delivering the impugned judgments have given cogent and sound reasons and there appears no error, illegality or irregularity on the surface to call for any interference and no misreading and non-reading of evidence is apparent, I see no merits in the instant petition, accordingly, relying on the dictum laid down by the Apex Court in the cases of **Abdul Razzak v. Shabnam Noonari and others** (2012 SCMR 976) and **Muhammad Feroze and others v. Muhammad Jamaat Ali** (2006 SCMR 1304), this petition is dismissed.

**JUDGE**