C.P No.D-325 of 2019

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 1867/19
- 2. For orders on office objection
- 3. For hearing of MA 1868/19
- 4. For orders on M.A 1969/19
- 5. For hearing of main case.

19.02.2019

Mr. Muhammad Hassan Jakhro, Advocate for the petitioner.

Learned counsel seeks time for preparation. Adjourned to 27.02.2019.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-324 of 2019

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 1906/19
- 2. For orders on office objection
- 3. For hearing of MA 1907/19
- 4. For orders on M.A 1908/19
- 5. For hearing of main case.

19.02.2019

Mr. Muhammad Hassan Jakhro, Advocate for the petitioner.

Learned counsel seeks time for preparation. Adjourned to 27.02.2019.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-331 of 2019

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 1901/19
- 2. For orders on office objection
- 3. For hearing of MA 1902/19
- 4. For orders on M.A 1903/19
- 5. For hearing of main case.

19.02.2019

Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Urgency granted.
- 2. Deferred for the time being.
- 3. Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 4&5. Let pre-admission notice be issued to the respondents as well as A.A.G for 06.03.2019.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-337 of 2019

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 1909/19
- 2. For orders on office objection
- 3. For hearing of MA 1910/19
- 4. For hearing of main case.

19.02.2019

Mr. Willayat Ali Khan Magsi, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Urgency granted.
- 2. Deferred for the time being.
- 3. Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 4. Let notice be issued to the respondents as well as Additional Advocate General Sindh and Additional Prosecutor General Sindh for 06.03.2019, with direction to respondent No.2 to produce the alleged detainees before this court.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-340 of 2019

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 1920/19
- 2. For orders on office objection
- 3. For hearing of MA 1921/19
- 4. For orders on M.A 1922/19
- 5. For hearing of main case.

19.02.2019

Mr. Tufail Ali Qureshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Urgency granted.
- 2. Deferred for the time being.
- 3. Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.
- 4&5. Let notice be issued to the respondents as well as A.A.G for 20.03.2019.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-289 of 1992

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For hearing of MA 1707/02
- 2. For hearing of MA 1936/02

19.02.2019

Mr. Anwar H. Ansari, Advocate for the petitioners.

Let notice be repeated to the respondents on the listed applications.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-92 of 2000

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For hearing of MA 1676/05

19.02.2019

Mr. Jhamat Jethanand, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G. alongwith Zahoor Ahmed Qureshi Senior Clerk Frost Department, Hyderabad.

Learned Additional Advocate General Sindh claims copy of the listed application which Mr. Jhamat Jethanand undertakes to supply him during court hours.

Adjourned.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-1143 of 2011

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on statement dated 05.09.2018
- 2. For hearing of main case

19.02.2019

Mr. Zahoor A. Baloch, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Rajput, Assistant Attorney General

Learned Counsel for the petitioners seeks time to bring the law on the issue involved.

Adjourned to 18.03.2019.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-1333 of 2011

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 1725/19
- 2. For hearing of main case

19.02.2019

Mr. Amjad Ali Mangi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Urgency granted. To come up on 03.04.2019, after notice to all concerned.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-942 of 2012

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 1591/19
- 2. For hearing of main case

19.02.2019

None present. Urgent application is dismissed.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-335 of 2014

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 1572/19
- 2. For hearing of MA 2159/14
- 3. For orders on office objection

19.02.2019

Mian Taj Muhammad Keerio, Advocate for petitioner.

Urgency granted. To come up on 03.04.2019, after notice to all concerned including newly added respondents.

JUDGE

JUDGE

C.P No.D-633 of 2014

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

19.02.2019

None present for petitioner.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G. alongwith Dr. Anwar Ali CRMO/DHO Umerkot.

Learned Additional Advocate General Sindh has filed comments of respondents No.2 and 3; taken on record.

This petition was filed on 08.04.2014; thereafter the record reflects that neither the petitioner not his Counsel has taken any interest to get this matter posted for proceeding on merit even today no one is present on behalf of the petitioner and no intimation is received on his behalf.

Accordingly, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.D-543 of 2015

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on M.A 1590/19
- 2. For orders on office objection
- 3. For orders on M.A 3432/15
- 4. For hearing of main case

19.02.2019

Mr. Nandan A. Kella advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

-.-.-.

Urgency granted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset does not press this petition which he has filed for appointment of the petitioner in education department on the basis of donation of plot and submits that the petitioner would apply in the upcoming process of appointments and if he qualifies on merits among the other candidates, his case may be considered with margin of preference on the basis of donation of plot in the light of judgment passed by the Honourable Supreme Court in the case of *Government of Sindh and others v. Loung Khan Rajper etc* (Civil Appeals Nos.19-K to 50-K of 2015) and *HAMEEDULLAH and 9 others versus HEADMISTRESS*, *GOVERNMENT GIRLS SCHOOL*, *CHOKARA*, *DISTRICT KARAK and 5 others* (1997 SCMR 855).

In view of above, this petition is dismissed as not pressed alongwith application listed at serial No.3. However, if the petitioner applies in the upcoming process of appointments and he qualifies the same on merits alongwith other candidates, the appointing authority may use his discretion in favour of the petitioner on the basis of his donation of plot.

JUDGE

JUDGE