

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

CrI. Bail Appln: No.S-90 of 2018.

Daniyal Siddiqui and others.Applicants.

Versus.

The State.Respondent.

Mr. Abid Ali Thebo, Advocate alongwith the Applicants.

Ms. Sana Memon, APG.

Mr. Saad Salman Ghani, Advocate for the complainant.

Date of hearing and order 26.06.2018.

O R D E R

IRSHAD ALI SHAH, J. The applicant/complainant by way of instant application under section 514 Cr.P.C. has sought for action against the accused and their sureties on account of their failure to surrender before the learned trial Court after obtaining protective bail.

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the applicant that on account of the accused to surrender before the learned trial Court they and their sureties are liable to action.

3. Learned APG has sought for dismissal of the instant application being misconceived.

4. I have considered the above arguments and perused the record.

5. It is true that the accused were admitted to protective bail by this court, but there could be made no denial that there was nothing in the protective bail order that on account of failure of the accused to surrender before the learned trial Court they and their sureties would be liable to action under section 514 Cr.P.C. In these circumstances, it is rightly being contended by the learned APG that the instant application is liable to be dismissed, as it is misconceived.

6. In view of the above, the instant application is dismissed.

JUDGE