

*ORDER SHEET*  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD**

C.P.No.S-1216 of 2017.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
	1. For orders on office objection. 2. <u>For katcha peshi.</u>

30.03.2018.

Mr. Imtiaz Ali Channa Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Wali Muhammad Jamari, Assistant A.G. alongwith ASI Mazhar Hussain of PS: B-Section Dadu.

=

Mr. Ali Murtaza Babar Advocate files Vakalatnama alongwith objections on behalf of respondent No.4, taken on record.

Through this petition, the petitioner claims exercise of his right to meet his minor son through the judgment passed in the family case dated 10.11.2016, where the mother was directed to produce the minor before the Court on every 14<sup>th</sup> of calendar month for meeting with the father (petitioner) from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon. The counsel for petitioner contends that while the said schedule is not strictly followed as well as whenever the petitioner reaches the Court for meeting his son, the private respondents harass him. In his objections, private respondent stated that they have not harassed the petitioner nor they intend to do so and an undertaking is given that the petitioner will be allowed to meet his son in strict compliance of the Court's order.

With the directions to the private respondents to ensure that the petitioner has freedom and convenience of meeting his son as per the schedule suggested by the family Court and if any harassment or interference in those meetings is caused, the trial Court should consider the option of having that meeting take place at some other secured premises. The counsel for the petitioner is satisfied with these outcomes and does not press this petition, which is disposed of in above terms.

JUDGE

S