ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD C.P.NO.S-362 of 2017 DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF J

1. For orders on office objection.

2. For orders on MA-905/2017

3. For katcha peshi.

18.09.2017

Sher Muhammad Leghari, State Counsel. Respondents No.5 and 6 are present in person.

None present on behalf of the petitioner. Since the life and liberty of the petitioner is involved in this petition, therefore, in the interest of justice the matter is taken up.

With reference to the order of previous date, respondents No.2, 3 and 4 have filed their comments, which are taken on record. In the comments, the official respondents have denied the allegations leveled against them by the petitioner. It is also stated in the comments that there is some private dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents in respect of a plot of land, which is of civil nature. The private respondents No.5 and 6 namely Amb and Hashim are also present and state that they have neither harassed the petitioner nor they have in intention to do the same in future. The official respondents in the comments have also stated that the petitioner has never approached them for protection, however, they undertake that in the event the petitioner approaches them for protection, the same will be provided in accordance with law.

In view of the statements made by the official respondents as well as both the private respondents, the very purpose of the present petition has been served. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with direction to the private respondents not to cause any harassment to the petitioner and the official respondents to provide legal protection to the petitioner in the event he approaches them but strictly in accordance with law. However, if the private respondents have any grievance against the petitioner in respect of a plot, which appears to be a civil nature dispute, the same shall be redressed before the competent forum.

JUDGE