

**ORDER SHEET**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD**

C.P. No.S-1646 of 2016

---

**DATE**                      **ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE**

---

1. For orders on office objection

2. For katcha peshi.

07.11.2016.

Mr. Abid Ali Thebo, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G a/w DSP Anayatullah Narejo SDPO Jhirk and o/b of SSP Thatta, SIP Muhammad Qasim Gaad SHO P.S Jhirk, Syed Abdul Haq Shah SHO P.S B-Section Tando Allahyar and o/b of SSP Tando Allahyar, SIP Muhammad Javed Akhtar o/b of DIG Hyderabad and SIP Zahid Shaikh I.O of the case,

=

Today, Mr. Muhammad Ismail, Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of private respondents No.12, 13, 17 & 21 alongwith statement, in which litigation history and record between the parties pending adjudication before various Courts has been filed.

Learned A.A.G Sindh has also filed his comments alongwith documents, which includes a copy of order passed in C.P. No.S-1400/2016. The main grievance of the petitioner is that police is avoiding to arrest respondent No.20, who is brother of the petitioner and has been nominated in Crime No.28/2016 lodged at P.S Jhirk against accused Ramzan and Kadero. He further submits that respondent No.3-Ismail Khaskheli is causing harassment to the petitioner as he enjoys the support of police officials. This petition after hearing the learned Counsel for all parties at some length is disposed of with the directions that police officials should act in accordance with law and should desist from patronizing any party(ies) or individual. As far as, the complaint against respondent No.20 is concerned, the same grievance can be redressed by the concerned Court, in which the case is sub judice. The official respondents are further directed not to cause any harassment to the petitioner and while investigating a case and discharging their official duties they are bound to follow due process of law.

**JUDGE**