

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD**  
C.P. No.S-1267 of 2015

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

1. For orders on office objection
2. For katcha peshi.
3. For hearing of MA-1916/2016

08.11.2016.

None present for the petitioner.  
Mr. Abdul Hameed Bajwar, Advocate for respondent No.5.  
Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G

=

On last date of hearing, this matter was taken up twice but no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner and it was adjourned by way of indulgence. On 15.01.2016, an application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC was moved and according to Mr. Abdul Hameed Bajwa, who is appearing for the applicant/intervener Mst.Shabana Widow of Shoukat Ali by filing above C.M.A No.1916 of 2016, submits that primarily the controversy in the present petition relates to proprietary dispute and even the extract of ownership annexed with the petition shows the name of Mst. Shabana Wife of Shoukat Ali at Sr. No.4, whereas Shoukat Ali, the present applicant/intervener has passed away, thus the property in question is devolved upon the legal heirs of late Shoukat Ali.

On the other hand, the learned Additional A.G Sindh in his parawise reply, *inter-alia*, stated that dispute is in respect of a plot and is of civil nature. However, the police officials are not patronizing any individual and will act strictly in accordance with law.

In view of the above undeniable facts and seeing the cavalier behaviour of petitioner side, instant constitutional petition is devoid of any merits and is dismissed accordingly. However, the parties are at liberty to agitate their grievances at the appropriate fora in accordance with law.

JUDGE