## **ORDER SHEET**

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

C.P. No.S-828 of 2016

## DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objection
- 2. For katcha peshi.

## 21.11.2016.

Ms. Samreen Ali, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, Additional A.G a/w Syed Abdul Latif SHO Kotri.

=

The main grievance of the petitioners is that the family members of petitioner No.2, that is, respondents No.6, 7, 8 & 9 are pressurizing the petitioner No.1 to pronounce a divorce upon petitioner No.2 as respondent No.7 has divorced the sister of petitioner No.1. The petitioners' Counsel further submits that question of life and liberty as guaranteed by the constitution is involved in the matter as both the petitioners being *sui juris* cannot be pressurized by the respondents for pronouncement of a divorce upon petitioner No.2, who is happily living with petitioner No.1, being her lawfully wedded husband. The private respondents are continuously causing harassment and mental torment to the petitioners as they are refusing to succumb to their different pressurizing tactics. In this regard, according to the petitioners the police officials also have a role, at least to provide legal protection to the petitioners from the highhandedness of the private respondents.

It is directed that police officials will provide the petitioners legal protection if they are approached with such a request and the private respondents are further directed to desist from causing any kind of harassment to the petitioners and especially they cannot pressurize the petitioner No.1 to divorce petitioner No.2. With these observations and directions, the present petition stands disposed of.

**JUDGE**