

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S-1845 of 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on M.A 16322/16
2. For orders on office objection
3. For orders on M.A 16323/16
4. For Katcha Peshi.
5. For orders on M.A 16324/16

11.11.2016.

Mr. G.M Laghari, Advocate alongwith petitioners.

-.-.-

1. Granted.
2. Deferred for the time being.
3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.

4&5. Both the petitioners are present alongwith their Counsel. Petitioner No.1 Mst. Rozina submits that she being sui juris has contracted marriage with petitioner No.2 Irfan Ali out of her own freewill and she has neither been kidnapped nor enticed away by the petitioner No.2. In this regard affidavit of freewill sworn by the petitioner No.1 and nikahnama are also available on record as at Pages- 17 & 21 respectively.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that this marriage has antagonized the private who are causing harassment to the petitioners and there is apprehension that they may cause harm to the petitioners.

Issue notices to all respondents as well as A.A.G for 01.12.2016. Private respondents shall be served through all modes except publication. In the meantime, respondents are restrained from causing any harassment to the petitioners. The official respondents are directed to conduct themselves strictly in accordance with law and to provide protection to the petitioners if they are approached with such a request and also shall ensure that no harassment is caused to the petitioners by the private respondents. Concerned SHO is directed to ensure presence of private respondents before this Court on next date of hearing.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S-1842 OF 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on M.A 16309/16
2. For orders on office objection
3. For orders on M.A 16310/16
4. For Katcha Peshi.

11.11.2016.

Mr. Sameeullah Rind, Advocate alongwith petitioners.

-.-.-.

1. Granted.
2. Deferred for the time being.
3. Granted subject to all just exceptions.

4&5. Both the petitioners are present alongwith their Counsel. Petitioner No.1 Mst. Sana Haider submits that she being sui juris has contracted marriage with petitioner No.2 Ghulam Rasool out of her own freewill and she has neither been kidnapped nor enticed away by the petitioner No.2. In this regard nikahnama and affidavit of freewill are also available on record as Annexure-A & A/1 available at pages 17 and 19 respectively.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners states that due to this marriage, private respondents No. 4 to 13 are unhappy and there is every likelihood that they may take law in their hands and cause serious harm to the petitioners unless restrained by this Court.

Issue notices to all respondents as well as A.A.G for 01.12.2016. Private respondents shall be served through all modes except publication. In the meantime, respondents are restrained from causing any harassment to the petitioners. The official respondents are directed to conduct themselves strictly in accordance with law and to provide protection to the petitioners if they are approached with such a request and also shall ensure that no harassment is

caused to the petitioners by the private respondents. Concerned SHO is directed to ensure presence of private respondents No.4,5,7,8 & 11 before this Court on next date of hearing.

JUDGE

Ali Haider

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1622 of 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection
2. For Katcha Peshi.

11.11.2016.

Mr. Imtiaz Ali Channa, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

-.-.-.

Today, Mr. Bilawal Bajeer, Advocate, files vakalatnama on behalf of private respondents and submits that on the contrary it is the petitioner who has taken loan from private respondents, who are landlords and when they demanded the loan back, the present petition has been filed.

Comments of official respondents show that there is no FIR against any of the parties nor the official respondents have ever been approached by the petitioner to provide any protection.

In these circumstances, this petition is disposed of with the directions that private respondents shall not extend any threat or cause harassment to the petitioner and if they have any grievance against the petitioner, the same shall be resolved through due process of law.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1652 of 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection
2. For Katcha Peshi.

11.11.2016.

Mr. Liaquat Ali Malik, Advocate for petitioners alongwith
petitioners.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G alongwith SIP Imtiaz Hussain
Shah SHO P.S B-Section Dadu and ASI Muhammad Dawood P.S
A-Section Dadu.

-:-:-

Today, both the petitioners are present alongwith their Counsel.

Learned A.A.G has filed parawise comments on behalf of police officials wherein respondent No.2-SSP Dadu has stated and undertaken that the said respondent will ensure that none of the police officials will disturb the peacefull life of the petitioner at the behest of their family members who have been arrayed as respondents No.5,6 & 7. Being satisfied with this statement and undertaking the petitioners pray for disposal of the petition.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of with the directions that private respondents shall not cause any harassment to the petitioners and in this regard official respondents will provide them protection in accordance with law whenever they are approached with such a request.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1659 of 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection
2. For Katcha Peshi.

11.11.2016.

Mr. Altaf Hussain Chandio, Advocate, alongwith petitioners.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G alongwith SIP Achar P.S Padidan, ASI Muhammad Suleman P.S Bhitai Nagari and SIP Muhammad Chagal on bhelaf of SSP Hyderabad.

-.-.-.

Today, both the petitioners are present alongwith their Counsel.

Mr. Aijaz Ali Bhutto, Advocate, files power on behalf of respondents No.6 to 12 and respondent No.6 is present in person as well and he undertakes that respondents will not cause any harassment to the petitioner.

Learned A.A.G has also filed parawise comments, according to which, till date no FIR has been lodged against the petitioners and police officials have not caused any harassment to the petitioners at the behest of private respondents nor they will do so in the future, rather it has been stated that police will provide legal protection to the petitioners if they are approached with such a request.

In view of the above observations this petition is disposed of with the directions to all the respondents to desist from causing any harassment to the petitioners and official respondents/police officials should provide protection to the petitioners if they are approached with such a request.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1701 of 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection
2. For Katcha Peshi.
3. For hearing of MA 15052/16

11.11.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Imran Arain, Advocate alongwith petitioners.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G alongwith SIP Muhammad Chagal on behalf of SSP Hyderabad, SIP Bashir Ahmed P.S Sakhi Pir and ASI Shahzado P.S Pinyari.

-.-.-.

Today, Respondent No.4 Abdul Latif and respondent No.5 Sajid are present in person and submit that they have not caused any harassment to the petitioners and have never used their official influence against the petitioner as till date despite their complaints FIR against the petitioners has not been lodged.

Learned A.A.G has filed parawise comments, according to which, respondent No.2 has ensured that police officials have remained neutral and have discharged their duties in accordance with law without siding with any party and till date no FIR has been lodged against the petitioners.

Be that as it may, private respondents are restrained from causing any harassment to the petitioners and police officials will provide them protection if they are approached with such a request, but strictly in accordance with law. However, it is clarified that this order will not restrain any party to seek remedy before any Court of law. With these observations and directions this petition stands disposed of alongwith listed application.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1702 of 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on MA 15940/16
2. For orders on office objection
3. For orders on M.A 15941/16
4. For Katcha Peshi.

11.11.2016.

Neither the petitioner nor his Counsel is present. Since this petition involves question of life and liberty, it has been taken up in the interest of justice.

1. Granted.
2. Deferred for the time being.
3. True translation of the annexure(s) to be filed on or before the next date of hearing.
4. Issue notices to the respondents as well as A.A.G for 08.12.2016. Private respondent shall be served through all modes except publication. In the meantime, respondents are restrained from causing harassment to the petitioner.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1732 of 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection
2. For orders on M.A 15247
3. For Katcha Peshi.

11.11.2016.

Mr. Ghulam Nabi Jarwar, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G alongwith SIP Mocharo Khan
P.S Peromal and ASI Muhammad Ayaz Mari, P.S Khipro.

-.-.-

Today, learned A.A.G has filed parawise comments where under it has been stated that police officials have not caused any harassment to the petitioner, however, a FIR bearing Crime No. 28/2016 has been lodged on the complaint of one Moula Bux Brohi, who has been arrayed as respondent No.8 in the present petition.

Since private respondents have invoked the due process of law by lodging the criminal case, therefore, this petition is disposed of with the directions that private respondents shall not cause any harassment to the petitioner and will not take law in their hands and would rather seek their remedy before the competent Court of law in the above mentioned crime No.28/2016. The official respondents are further directed to conduct themselves strictly in accordance with law without patronizing any of the parties.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 356 of 2011

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For orders as to non-prosecution of R.A Counsel for applicant has not paid cost nor supplied the copies for issuance of notice to respondents.

11.11.2016.

The record shows that since 24.03.2014 neither applicants' Counsel nor the applicants are appearing to proceed with the matter and on each date the matter has been adjourned by showing indulgence. On 22.05.2015 a week's time was granted to applicants to comply with the office objection relating to payment of cost but even till date that has not been complied with, which shows the cavalier behavior of the applicants. Consequently, this Revision Application is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

Ali Haider

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 111 of 2015

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For orders on M.A 1821/11

11.11.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Arshad S. Pathan, Advocate for applicants.

-:-:-

Granted.

It is, inter alia, contended that regarding same subject matter C.P No.D-1053 of 2015 has been fixed in the last week of present month and therefore, the Counsel states that this Revision Application may also be fixed on the same day. Order accordingly. Let this matter be fixed in the fourth week of November on the day when above Constitutional Petition is fixed. It is further submitted by the applicants' Counsel that the unserved private respondents may be served through publication so that this matter can be proceeded further.

In the interest of justice, the request is allowed. Office is directed to serve the unserved private respondents by way of publication in accordance with rules. Copy of newspaper notice should be filed before the next date of hearing so that the matter can be proceeded further.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 09 of 2011

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For further orders as Counsel for applicant has not deposited the cost-Rs.2000/- as per Court order dated 02.10.2015.

11.11.2016.

Mr. Aqeel Ahmed Siddiqui, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Pirbhulal U. Goklani, Advocate, who represents the applicant and is reported to be unwell today.

Be that as it may, there is an order of 02.10.2015 where under adjournment was granted subject to payment of cost of Rs.2000/-, as it was observed in the order that applicant's Counsel is not proceeding with the matter for the past four years. The above order is of last year and till date compliance is not made which shows a casual attitude of the Counsel. Consequently, as mentioned in the above order, this Revision Application is dismissed in default.

JUDGE