

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1732 of 2016

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection
2. For orders on M.A 15247
3. For Katcha Peshi.

11.11.2016.

Mr. Ghulam Nabi Jarwar, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G alongwith SIP Mocharo Khan
P.S Peromal and ASI Muhammad Ayaz Mari, P.S Khipro.

-.-.-.

Today, learned A.A.G has filed parawise comments where under it has been stated that police officials have not caused any harassment to the petitioner, however, a FIR bearing Crime No. 28/2016 has been lodged on the complaint of one Moula Bux Brohi, who has been arrayed as respondent No.8 in the present petition.

Since private respondents have invoked the due process of law by lodging the criminal case, therefore, this petition is disposed of with the directions that private respondents shall not cause any harassment to the petitioner and will not take law in their hands and would rather seek their remedy before the competent Court of law in the above mentioned crime No.28/2016. The official respondents are further directed to conduct themselves strictly in accordance with law without patronizing any of the parties.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 356 of 2011

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For orders as to non-prosecution of R.A Counsel for applicant has not paid cost nor supplied the copies for issuance of notice to respondents.

11.11.2016.

The record shows that since 24.03.2014 neither applicants' Counsel nor the applicants are appearing to proceed with the matter and on each date the matter has been adjourned by showing indulgence. On 22.05.2015 a week's time was granted to applicants to comply with the office objection relating to payment of cost but even till date that has not been complied with, which shows the cavalier behavior of the applicants. Consequently, this Revision Application is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 111 of 2015

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For orders on M.A 1821/11

11.11.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Arshad S. Pathan, Advocate for applicants.

-:-:-

Granted.

It is, inter alia, contended that regarding same subject matter C.P No.D-1053 of 2015 has been fixed in the last week of present month and therefore, the Counsel states that this Revision Application may also be fixed on the same day. Order accordingly. Let this matter be fixed in the fourth week of November on the day when above Constitutional Petition is fixed. It is further submitted by the applicants' Counsel that the unserved private respondents may be served through publication so that this matter can be proceeded further.

In the interest of justice, the request is allowed. Office is directed to serve the unserved private respondents by way of publication in accordance with rules. Copy of newspaper notice should be filed before the next date of hearing so that the matter can be proceeded further.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 09 of 2011

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For further orders as Counsel for applicant has not deposited the cost-Rs.2000/- as per Court order dated 02.10.2015.

11.11.2016.

Mr. Aqeel Ahmed Siddiqui, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Pirbhulal U. Goklani, Advocate, who represents the applicant and is reported to be unwell today.

Be that as it may, there is an order of 02.10.2015 where under adjournment was granted subject to payment of cost of Rs.2000/-, as it was observed in the order that applicant's Counsel is not proceeding with the matter for the past four years. The above order is of last year and till date compliance is not made which shows a casual attitude of the Counsel. Consequently, as mentioned in the above order, this Revision Application is dismissed in default.

JUDGE