#### ORDER SHEET

#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 556 of 2016

#### DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objection
- 2. For Katcha Peshi.
- 3. For orders on M.A 13826/16

17.10.2016.

Mr. Ali Abbas Memon, State Counsel alongwith SIP Muhammad Juman Khoso, SHO P.S Jhol and ASI Shahbaz on behalf of SHO P.S Sanghar.

-.-.-.

Petitioner, who has been produced in custody by Jail Authorities, District Jail Sanghar, states that respondents are unnecessarily causing harassment to him and his family members and he apprehends that officials may kill him in some fake encounter.

This petition has been vehemently opposed by the learned State Counsel who has filed detailed parawise comments alongwith copies of various FIRs in which petitioner has been nominated. He further contends that different cases in respect of these FIRs are pending in Trial Court(s). Admittedly, petitioner's another Constitutional Petition No.D-2073 of 2015 is still sub judice in this Court. However, it is submitted that after filing of the said petition, respondent No. 3 has demanded (illegal) gratification from the petitioner and the latter is being continuously pressurized by respondents to withdraw his aforementioned petition. Respondent No.2 the concerned S.S.P is directed to hold an inquiry into the allegation of demanding (illegal) gratification by police officials. Report in this regard should be filed within three weeks from today with the Additional Registrar of this Court.

Be that as it may, the officials are directed to conduct themselves strictly in accordance with law and should not cause any harassment to the petitioner or his family members. It is also directed that the Trial Court(s) in which cases are pending should decide the matter as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of alongwith listed application.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 51 of 2016

#### DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For orders on statement.

17.10.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Noor Din learned counsel representing the applicant files a Statement to withdraw this matter simplicitor. His vakalatnama is available in case file which <u>does</u> provide him an authority, inter alia, to withdraw the case. Consequently, this revision application is dismissed as withdrawn with no order as to costs alongwith pending applications.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 50 of 2016

#### DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For orders on statement.

17.10.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Noor Din learned counsel representing the applicant files a Statement to withdraw this matter simplicitor. His vakalatnama is available in case file which <u>does</u> provide him an authority, inter alia, to withdraw the case. Consequently, this revision application is dismissed as withdrawn with no order as to costs alongwith pending applications.

**JUDGE** 

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 193 of 2009

#### DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

17.10.2016.

Mr. Jhamat Jethanand, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. Naimatullah Soomro, Advocate for respondents No.1(i) & (ii).

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

-**.**-.-

After hearing at some length this matter is adjourned and all learned counsel agree that the entire case may be decided at Katcha Peshi stage. Accordingly, office is directed to call R & Ps of the instant Revision Application alongwith R & Ps of Civil Appeal No.44 of 2001. Office to ensure that complete record and proceedings of the matter is before the Court on next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 01.11.2016 at 11:00 a.m.

**JUDGE** 

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 149 of 2010

#### DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

17.10.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Arshad S. Pathan, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. Arbab Ali Hakro, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 9.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

-.-.-.

By consent, the matter is adjourned to 03.11.2016 at 11:00 a.m. Both learned counsel state that there is an earlier order whereby instant revision application is to be decided at Katcha Peshi Stage. Consequently, office is directed to call entire R & Ps so that the matter may be proceeded on next date of hearing. Adjourned.

**JUDGE** 

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 151 of 2010

#### DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

17.10.2016.

Mr. Arbab Ali Hakro, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Rafique Ahmed, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G for respondents No.5 to 8.

Mr. Naimatullah Soomro, Advocate for respondent No. 10.

-.-.-

Bailiff's report shows that respondents No.2 to 4 could not be served as they have shifted from the given address. It is an old case a revision application of 2010 which still could not be proceeded because of parties were not served. Accordingly, office is directed to serve the unserved respondents through publication. The above exercise should be completed within 10 days from today whereafter this matter shall be fixed in Court for further proceeding.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 96 of 2007 C.P No.S- 200 of 2010

#### DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

17.10.2016.

Mr. Tahseen Ahmed H. Qureshi, Advocate for the petitioner/applicants.

Mr. Abdul Rehman Shaikh, Advocate for respondent(s).

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

-.-.-.

Today, counsel for petitioner in C.P No.S-200/2010 and for applicants in R.A No.96/2007 files statement alongwith documents in R.A No. 96/2007, same is taken on record and copy whereof has been provided to respondent's counsel, who requests for some time to go through the same and file objection, if any. Adjourned. Office is directed to fix this matter after three weeks.

**JUDGE** 

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 143 of 2010

#### DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For further orders as counsel for applicant has not filed the application to bring the L.Rs record the L.Rs of deceased applicant as per court order dated 18.12.2013.

17.10.2016.

Mr. Zafar Ali Vighio, Advocate for the applicant.

-.-.-.

None present for respondents.

Today, learned counsel for the applicant files an application under Order 22 Rule (4) CPC for bringing the legal heirs of the applicant on record, which is taken on record. Let office issue notice of this application to the respondents for next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 27.10.2016.

**JUDGE** 

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 224 of 2012

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

17.10.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Hassan Chang, Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. Ali Abbas Memon, State Counsel.

-.-.-.

Learned counsel for the applicants seeks time to comply with the order dated 28.10.2014, whereby CMA 01/2014 was allowed and applicants were

directed to file the amended title. Till date no amended title has been filed. In the

interest of justice and only as a last chance, the counsel is allowed a week's time

to file the amended title in compliance of the earlier order failing which adverse

consequences shall follow. Once the amended title is filed office is directed to

issue notices of the case to the parties whereafter this matter will be fixed in

Court for further proceeding. Adjourned.

JUDGE

CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

2<sup>nd</sup> Appeal No. 03 of 2008

#### DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

17.10.2016.

Mr. Zafar Ali Vighio, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Ahmed Ali Shaikh, Advocate for the applicant/intervenor.

-.-.-.

Case record shows that till date appellants' counsel has not filed the amended title of this appeal as directed by the order dated 26.09.2016. Today none is present for the appellant. Only in the interest of justice and as a last chance this appeal is adjourned with the direction that compliance of the earlier order shall be made within ten (10) days from today failing which adverse consequences will follow. Office is directed to fix this matter after ten (10) days in Court for further proceeding.

**JUDGE**