

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1286 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-.-.-.

On last date of hearing, though no one was present on behalf of the petitioner but the matter was adjourned in the interest of justice while granting one week's time to the petitioner's side to comply with the office objection which includes payment of costs. Till date same is the position which is reflected from the office note that neither cost has been deposited nor copies have been supplied in the Branch enabling the office to proceed further. Consequently, instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1359 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-.-.-.

On last date of hearing, though no one was present on behalf of the petitioner but the matter was adjourned in the interest of justice while granting one week's time to the petitioner's side to comply with the office objection which includes payment of costs. Till date same is the position which is reflected from the office note that neither cost has been deposited nor copies have been supplied in the Branch enabling the office to proceed further. Consequently, instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 217 of 2004

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For Katcha Peshi.

07.11.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Hashim Memon, Advocate for applicant.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

---

Learned Counsel for applicant partly argued this Revision Application; however, due to paucity of time, as today other time fixed matters are also fixed after tea-break, this matter is fixed on 28.11.2016 at 11:00 a.m. for further arguments.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1416 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-:-:-

On 05.09.2016 after recording contention of Counsel for the petitioner notices were issued to the respondents. Thereafter, neither cost of the petition has been deposited nor copies were supplied in the office enabling the office to take further steps and on 27.09.2016 though no one was present on behalf of the petitioner but as an indulgence seven days' time was granted to comply with the orders. Today, compliance has not been made and therefore, again office note shows that the matter is fixed for non-prosecution. Consequently, instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1644 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-----

As an indulgence, three days' time is granted to comply with the office notice, whereafter the matter be fixed in Court for further proceeding.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 06 of 1991

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders on C.M.A 1823/16

07.11.2016.

Mr. Mashooque Ali Mahar, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate for respondents, states that some SSP is causing harassment but in the urgent application no such fact has been mentioned rather no ground has been mentioned for getting this matter fixed at an early date. Consequently, urgent application is dismissed.

JUDGE

Ali Haider

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 320 of 2010

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders on C.M.A 1779/16

07.11.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Sachal R. Awan, Advocate for applicants.

Mr. Pirbhulal U. Goklani, Advocate for respondent No.1.

It is, inter alia, contended that the matter was dismissed for non-prosecution as the erstwhile Counsel of the applicants was appointed as Additional District & Sessions Judge and therefore, matter could not pursue. Urgency granted.

Learned Counsel for respondent No.1 waives notice of Revision Application and claims copy of the same which should be supplied to him during course of the day.

Office is directed to fix this matter on 06.12.2016.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 51 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders on C.M.A 1768/16

07.11.2016.

Mr. Amjad Hussain Rajpar, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Muhammad Arshad S. Pathan, Advocate for applicants, who is reported to be busy before another Bench.

It is stated in the affidavit that applicant is a senior citizen of this Country and his substantial stakes are involved in the matter, therefore, he has moved this urgent application, which is accordingly granted. The matter is fixed on 06.12.2016.

JUDGE

Ali Haider



ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 144 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders on C.M.A 1734/16

07.11.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Hassan Jakhro, Advcoate for respondents No.1(a)  
to 1(c).

-:-:-

It is, inter alia, contended that despite concurrent findings of the Courts  
below in his favour the respondent No.1 is still dragged into this litigation.

Urgency granted. Office is directed to fix this matter on 29.11.2016.

JUDGE

Ali Haider

