

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 482 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

- 1 For orders on office objection
2. For Katcha Peshi.

07.11.2016.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G alongwith ASI Muhammad Khan Kaloi, respondent No.4, and SIP Umerdin Bozdar on behalf of SHO P.S Tando Jam.

-:-:-

None present for the petitioner. Same was the position on last date of hearing, however, the matter was adjourned in the interest of justice.

Today, learned A.A.G is present and submits that he has filed a comprehensive report and comments of official respondent/SSP Hyderabad, inter alia,, undertaking to provide protection to every citizen of Pakistan living within the jurisdiction of SSP Tando Allahyar including the petitioner. It appears that petitioner has lost interest in the matter after filing this petition.

Be that as it may, in view of the comments filed by the official respondents/police officials that no harassment was caused to the petitioner and the official respondents shall provide protection to him if approached with such a request. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of in view of above observation.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 996 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

1. For orders on M.A 15042/16
2. For orders on office objection
3. For Katcha Peshi.

07.11.2016.

Mr. Altaf Hussain Chandio, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

-:-:-

1. Granted.

2&3. Counsel for petitioners states that respondents are trying to dispossess petitioners from the land in question.

Parawise reply of official respondents show that parties-Petitioners and private respondents have lodged FIRs against each other and criminal cases are sub judice.

Be that as it may, till next date of hearing, petitioners shall not be dispossessed from the land in question except through due process of law, which includes, an order or direction from the Court. On the next date, C.P No.S-808/2016 shall also be tagged with instant Constitutional Petition.

Adjourned to 22.11.2016.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1147 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-.-.-.

The order sheets show that even on the first date of hearing no one was present on behalf of petitioner but notices were issued by showing indulgence. Today, the matter is fixed for non-prosecution as till date compliance of the office note has not been made which includes non-payment of costs. Consequently, instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1158 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as neither cost has been paid nor copies have been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-.-.-.

Petitioner petition pertains to freewill marriage in which on 20.07.2016 a comprehensive order was passed, inter alia, provided protection to the petitioners, whereafter from the record it appears that Counsel for petitioners stopped appearing. Till date neither cost has been paid nor have copies been supplied by the petitioners' side in the Branch enabling the office to take further steps. It appears that after obtaining favourable restraining order in their favour the petitioners have decided not to pursue the matter. Consequently, instant petition is dismissed.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1221 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-.-.-.

On last date of hearing, though no one was present on behalf of the petitioners but the matter was adjourned in the interest of justice while granting one week's time to the petitioners' side to comply with the office objection which includes payment of costs. Till date same is the position which is reflected from the office note that neither cost has been deposited nor copies have been supplied in the Branch enabling the office to proceed further. Consequently, instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1286 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-.-.-.

On last date of hearing, though no one was present on behalf of the petitioner but the matter was adjourned in the interest of justice while granting one week's time to the petitioner's side to comply with the office objection which includes payment of costs. Till date same is the position which is reflected from the office note that neither cost has been deposited nor copies have been supplied in the Branch enabling the office to proceed further. Consequently, instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1359 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-.-.-.

On last date of hearing, though no one was present on behalf of the petitioner but the matter was adjourned in the interest of justice while granting one week's time to the petitioner's side to comply with the office objection which includes payment of costs. Till date same is the position which is reflected from the office note that neither cost has been deposited nor copies have been supplied in the Branch enabling the office to proceed further. Consequently, instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 217 of 2004

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For Katcha Peshi.

07.11.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Hashim Memon, Advocate for applicant.

Mr. Allah Bachayo Soomro, A.A.G.

-:-:-

Learned Counsel for applicant partly argued this Revision Application; however, due to paucity of time, as today other time fixed matters are also fixed after tea-break, this matter is fixed on 28.11.2016 at 11:00 a.m. for further arguments.

JUDGE



ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1416 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-:-:-

On 05.09.2016 after recording contention of Counsel for the petitioner notices were issued to the respondents. Thereafter, neither cost of the petition has been deposited nor copies were supplied in the office enabling the office to take further steps and on 27.09.2016 though no one was present on behalf of the petitioner but as an indulgence seven days' time was granted to comply with the orders. Today, compliance has not been made and therefore, again office note shows that the matter is fixed for non-prosecution. Consequently, instant petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.S- 1644 of 2016

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders as to non-prosecution of main petition, as notices not issued as cost and copies have not been supplied by the learned Counsel for the petitioner.

07.11.2016.

None present.

-----

As an indulgence, three days' time is granted to comply with the office notice, whereafter the matter be fixed in Court for further proceeding.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 06 of 1991

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders on C.M.A 1823/16

07.11.2016.

Mr. Mashooque Ali Mahar, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate for respondents, states that some SSP is causing harassment but in the urgent application no such fact has been mentioned rather no ground has been mentioned for getting this matter fixed at an early date. Consequently, urgent application is dismissed.

JUDGE

Ali Haider

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 320 of 2010

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders on C.M.A 1779/16

07.11.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Sachal R. Awan, Advocate for applicants.

Mr. Pirbhulal U. Goklani, Advocate for respondent No.1.

It is, inter alia, contended that the matter was dismissed for non-prosecution as the erstwhile Counsel of the applicants was appointed as Additional District & Sessions Judge and therefore, matter could not pursue. Urgency granted.

Learned Counsel for respondent No.1 waives notice of Revision Application and claims copy of the same which should be supplied to him during course of the day.

Office is directed to fix this matter on 06.12.2016.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 51 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders on C.M.A 1768/16

07.11.2016.

Mr. Amjad Hussain Rajpar, Advocate, holding brief for Mr. Muhammad Arshad S. Pathan, Advocate for applicants, who is reported to be busy before another Bench.

It is stated in the affidavit that applicant is a senior citizen of this Country and his substantial stakes are involved in the matter, therefore, he has moved this urgent application, which is accordingly granted. The matter is fixed on 06.12.2016.

JUDGE

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

R.A No. 144 of 2013

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

For orders on C.M.A 1734/16

07.11.2016.

Mr. Muhammad Hassan Jakhro, Advcoate for respondents No.1(a)  
to 1(c).

-:-:-

It is, inter alia, contended that despite concurrent findings of the Courts below in his favour the respondent No.1 is still dragged into this litigation.

Urgency granted. Office is directed to fix this matter on 29.11.2016.

JUDGE

Ali Haider

