ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,

CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

Constitutional Petition No.D-573 of 2026

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

12.11.2026

Mr. Mir Muhammad Nohri advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Muhammad Sharif Solangi A.A.G a/w Jewan Mansha Focal Person on behalf of Director Schools Education (Respondent No.3) and Ashfaq Ali DDEO (Primary), Tharparkar.

=

Learned A.A.G files statements alongwith certain documents, taken on record. The petitioners through the instant petition pray as under;-

a. Declare that the action of the respondents in discontinuing/refusing admissions in the Primary Section of Government Boys Lower School, Village Sokhroo, Taluka Chachro, District Tharparkar, under SEMIS Code No. 406010082, is illegal, unconstitutional, without lawful authority and of no legal effect.

b. Direct the respondents to immediately restore and continue the Primary Section (Classes I to V) in the said school and ensure admissions of all eligible children.

c. Direct the respondents to post the required number of Primary School Teachers (PSTs) and other supporting staff for the effective functioning of the Primary Section.

d. Direct the respondents to ensure that the upgradation of the school to Lower School level shall not affect or abolish the already existing Primary School classes.

e. Direct the respondents to formulate and implement a mechanism to safeguard the educational rights of more than 200 affected children of Village Sokhroo and adjoining areas.

f. Pending final disposal of this petition, grant interim relief by directing the respondents to provisionally admit all eligible children in the Primary Section and make temporary arrangements for teaching staff.

g. Grant any other relief or reliefs deemed just, proper and equitable under the circumstances of the case.

h. The petitioners further pray that during the pendency of the instant petition, this Honourable Court may kindly direct the respondents to immediately start admissions in Classes I to V and make interim teaching arrangements so that the education of the children is not adversely affected.

After notice, respondents filed comments, wherein denied the allegation and stated that no one has refused for admissions in the school and also produced muster rolls. Learned counsel for the petitioners is not able to point out that which child has been refused for admission in the school. In the circumstances, the petition stands disposed of with directions to the official respondents to act strictly in accordance with law as no one can be deprived from education in the aforesaid school (s).

JUDGE

JUDGE

Chander Kumar