

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA
Constt: Petition No.S-284 of 2026

<i>DATE</i>	<i>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</i>
-------------	--------------------------------------

- 01. For orders on M.A.No.661/2026 (U/A)
- 02. For orders on office objection "A".
- 03. For orders on M.A.No.662/2026 (E/A)
- 04. For hearing of main case.

01.09.2026

Mr. Ashique Hussain Kalhoro, Advocate for the Petitioner.

~,~,*~,*~,*~,*~,*~,*~*

- 1. Urgency granted.
- 2 to 4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners came from Nawabshah and have a serious apprehension of harassment at the hands of the police functionaries, allegedly with a view to disturbing their matrimonial lives; hence, they have invoked the jurisdiction of this Court by filing the instant harassment petition.

However, during the course of hearing, learned counsel was confronted with the question of whether, in view of the availability of the remedy before the learned Justice of Peace, the instant petition is maintainable. In this regard, reliance is placed upon the judgment of a Division Bench of this Court reported as **PLD 2019 Sindh 168**, as well as the judgment in Manzoor Ali v. P.O. Sindh and others, reported as **SBLR 2026 Sindh 1074**, wherein it has already been held that a petition seeking protection against alleged police harassment, without first invoking the jurisdiction of the learned Justice of Peace, is not maintainable.

Learned counsel, in response, submits that there is a dispute regarding territorial jurisdiction. However, the question of territorial jurisdiction has also been considered and addressed in the aforesaid judgments, particularly in paragraph No.15 of the judgment of the Division Bench and paragraph No.12 of the judgment in Manzoor Ali's case.

In view of the above, learned counsel has been unable to satisfy the Court as to the maintainability of the instant petition. Consequently, the petition, being not maintainable, is dismissed accordingly.

JUDGE