

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

C. P. No. D - 1752 of 2026

[Mansoor Ahmed & another v. Province of Sindh & others]

Present:

Mr. Justice Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry

Mr. Justice Muhammad Hasan (Akber)

For Petitioners : Mr. Pir Majid Ali Shah, Advocate

For Respondents : Nemo

Date of hearing : 26.10.2026

Date of decision : 26.10.2026

JUDGMENT

Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry J.- The Petitioners seek a writ for reinstatement in service.

2. The facts are as follows. In 2014, the Petitioners, Mansoor Ahmed and Shah Nawaz, were appointed as Police Constables (BPS-05) in the Sindh Reserve Police, Hyderabad. By order dated 06.05.2016 passed by the SSP, Sindh Reserve Police, the Petitioners were removed from service on the charge that they had been appointed in violation of rules. Their departmental appeals were dismissed by the DIG of Police vide order dated 30.08.2016. The Petitioners appealed to the Sindh Service Tribunal, which appeals were disposed of vide order dated 17.01.2017 by referring their case to a Centralized Re-examination Committee constituted on the order dated 23.12.2025 passed by the Supreme Court of Pakistan in HRC No. 16082-S/2015 for re-examining the cases of police constables who had been appointed alongside the Petitioners. The Petitioners submit that the order passed by the Sindh Service Tribunal on 17.01.2017 was not complied with.

3. Assuming that the order passed by the Sindh Service Tribunal had not been complied with, the remedy of the Petitioners was again before said Tribunal which has powers to enforce its own orders. The ground taken for preferring a writ petition is that on a petition made by another police constable similarly placed, namely Shayan Qureshi in C.P. No.

D-3379/2022, this Court had ordered his reinstatement in service. However, judgment dated 14.10.2025 passed in that petition reflects that Shayan Qureshi's appeal to the Sindh Service Tribunal had been allowed and the Centralized Re-examination Committee had also cleared him. Therefore, his was a completely different case.

4. In the Petitioners' case, the record reflects that by the time the Sindh Service Tribunal had passed order dated 17.01.2017, the case of the Petitioners had already been re-examined and rejected by the Centralized Re-examination Committee constituted earlier on the orders of the Supreme Court. This fact is manifest in the order dated 30.08.2016 passed by the DIG of Police for dismissing the Petitioners' departmental appeals. If the Petitioners were dissatisfied with the order passed in appeal by the Sindh Service Tribunal, their remedy was before the Supreme Court of Pakistan, not by way of a constitution petition. Therefore, the petition is dismissed as not maintainable.

J U D G E

J U D G E