

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT
LARKANA
Constitutional Petition No. S- 10 of 2026.
(Muhammad Umar alias Amir Ali vs Lal Muhammad and others)

Date	Order with signature of Judge
	1. For orders on office objection-A. 2. For orders on MA No.17/2026. E/A. 3. For orders on MA No.18/2026. S/A. 4. For hearing of main case.

05.10.2026.

None present for the petitioner.

This Constitutional Petition was filed on 06.01.2026. The record reflects that, since its institution, the petitioner has failed to pursue the matter with due diligence. On 23.01.2026, a request for adjournment was made; however, on 16.02.2026, despite the matter being called for the second time, no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner. On 31.08.2026, the same situation prevailed. Thereafter, on 07.09.2026, this Court observed that the learned counsel had failed to annex a copy of the plaint, which was a basic and necessary document for proper adjudication of the matter, and time was sought. Today, however, neither the petitioner nor his learned counsel is in attendance.

The record further reflects that the respondents instituted a suit for partition and possession, which, after a full-fledged trial, was decreed by the learned trial Court. The said judgment and decree were assailed by the petitioner before the learned Revisional Court; however, the petitioner remained unsuccessful.

Perusal of the record shows that the learned trial Court passed an order directing that the parties be given their respective shares in accordance with Muhammadan Law, as the dispute pertained to determination of shares and partition of the property. The learned Revisional Court, while examining the matter within the

limited scope of jurisdiction under Section 115, C.P.C., found no illegality, irregularity or jurisdictional defect in the order passed by the learned trial Court warranting interference.

In view of the foregoing, particularly the conduct of the petitioner in failing to pursue the matter and the absence of any jurisdictional defect in the concurrent orders of the Courts below, the instant Constitutional Petition, being devoid of merit, is dismissed on merits.

JUDGE