

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

ITRA No. 89 of 2014

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

For hearing of main case.

05.10.2026

Syed Ahsan Ali Shah, advocate for applicant

Learned counsel argues the impugned judgment is prima facie perfunctory in nature. Learned counsel states that a two-pages order comprises entirely of reproduction and leads to unreasoned conclusion. Learned counsel states that the same has not befitting the last fact-finding forum of the statutory hierarchy. Learned counsel seeks that the impugned judgment, being entirely non-speaking in nature, be set aside and the matter be remanded back to the learned Tribunal for adjudication afresh in accordance with law. Order accordingly.

A copy of this decision may be sent under the seal of this Court and the signature of the Registrar to the learned Appellate Tribunal, as required per section 133(8) of the Income Tax Ordinance, 2001.

Judge

Judge

Sajid

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

ITRA No. 09 of 2014

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

For hearing of main case.

05.10.2026

None present for the applicant
Mr. Pervez Ahmed Memon, advocate for Respondent.

Matter pending since 2014 without any progress. Today counsel for the applicant remains unrepresented without intimation or justification. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Judge

Judge

Sajid

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Spl. Customs Reference Application No. 279 of 2013

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

For hearing of main case.

05.10.2026

Ms. Masooda Siraj, advocate for applicant.

While numerous questions have been proposed, learned counsel states that the impugned judgment is prima facie perfunctory in nature as it compromises of reproduction leading to an unqualified conclusion. Learned counsel demonstrates from the impugned judgment that there is no independent discussion deliberation from the *lis* and even otherwise issue of importability has been determined by the learned Single Member Bench. Learned counsel states that the impugned judgment cannot be sustained, therefore, in the interest of justice the same be set aside and the matter be remanded back to the learned Tribunal to be adjudicated by learned Division Bench thereof in accordance with law. Order accordingly.

Judge

Judge

Sajid

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Spl. STRA No. 210 of 2012

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

For hearing of main case.

05.10.2026

Mr. Irfan Mir Halepota, advocate for the applicant
Mr. Abdul Rahim Lakhani, advocate for respondent alongwith Ata Muhammad, advocate.

Jointly sought that the impugned order is inconsistent with the law, therefore, the same may be set aside and the matter be remanded back to the learned Tribunal for adjudication in accordance with law. It is also sought that pending the aforesaid no coercive action be taken against the respondent arising here from. Order accordingly.

Judge

Judge

Sajid

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Spl. SCRA No. 329 of 2011

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

For hearing of main case.

05.10.2026

Mr. Kafeel Ahmed Abbasi AAG.

Sardar Zafar Hussain files Power of Attorney on behalf of applicant, which is taken on record.

Per learned counsel, the question proposed for determination has already been decided against the applicant/department, inter alia, vide order dated 19.08.2024 in SCRA No. 481 of 2024, reproduced herein below:-

To be reproduced:-

Learned counsel seeks that this reference be disposed of with the same reasons on the same terms as aforesaid. Order accordingly.

Judge

Judge

Sajid

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

ITRA Nos. 216 & 217 of 2011

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

For hearing of main case.

05.10.2026

Mr. S. Riazuddin, advocate for the applicant.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Khatri, advocate for the respondent.

Ms. Wafya advocate files Power of Mr. Faheem Ali Memon, advocate on behalf of Respondent in ITRA No. 217/2011, which is taken on record. Adjourned. Office is instructed to place copy of this order in connected matter.

Judge

Judge

Sajid

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

ITRA No. 24 of 2011

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

- 1. For orders on CMA No. 4647of 2024
- 2. For hearing of main case

05.10.2026

Dr. Muhammad Farogh Naseem, advocate for the Applicant..
Mr. Aftab Ahmed, advocate for respondent.

Learned counsel for the applicant seeks time to reframe questions of law. Adjourned.

Judge

Judge

Sajid

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

ITRA 299 of 2010

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

For hearing of main case

05.10.2026

None present.

Matter pending since 2010 without any progress. Today counsel for the applicant remains unrepresented without intimation or justification. Dismissed for non-prosecution. .

Judge

Judge

Sajid

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Income Tax Reference Application Nos. 80 & 81 of 2025

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

- 1. For hearing of CMA No. 489/2025.
- 2. For orders on office objection No. 01
- 3. For hearing of main case
- 4. For hearing of CMA No. 491/2025

05.10.2026

Mr. Kafeel Ahmed Abbasi, Addl. A.G. Sindh.
Mr. Asad Aftab Solangi, advocate for Respondents.

Question before the Court is *whether the final judgment of the learned Tribunal could be overturned vide mere recourse to a rectification application*. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the same is impermissible inter alia in view of the recent judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Chaudhry Steel Furnace reported as **2025 SCMR 1505**. Learned states that the said judgment is squarely binding upon this Court, therefore, in mutatis mutandis application thereof requesting the answer in favour of the applicant and against the respondent. Learned counsel for the respondent articulates no cavil to the record. Order accordingly. Office is instructed to place copy of this order in the connected file.

A copy of this decision may be sent under the seal of this Court and the signature of the Registrar to the learned Appellate Tribunal, as required per section 133(8) of the Income Tax Ordinance, 2001.

Judge

Judge

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

ITRA 319 of 2022

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

- 1. For orders on office objection No.27
- 2. For hearing of main case

02.10.2026

Mr. Mushtaq Ahmed advocate holds brief for Mr. Mukesh Kumar Khatri, advocate for the applicant.

This matter may not be placed before a bench consisting of one of us, namely, **Shah Nawaz Memon J.** is a member. Adjourned.

Judge

Judge

Amjad