

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT
LARKANA
Constitution Petition No. D- 786 of 2026

<i>Date</i>	<i>Order with signature of Judge</i>
	1. For orders on MA No.3943 of 2026. U/A. 2. For orders on office objection-A. 3. For orders on MA No.3944 of 2026. E/A. 4. For orders on MA No.3945 of 2026. S/A. 5. For hearing of main case.

22.09.2026.

Mr. Muhammad Ali Jagirani, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Urgency Granted.
- 2-5. The petitioner, who was serving as Food Supervisor in the Food Department, Government of Sindh, has assailed the impugned order dated 30.07.2026, whereby he was dismissed from service and was also directed to pay the amount allegedly causing loss to the Food Department and, consequently, to the national exchequer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order was passed on 30.07.2026, against which the petitioner preferred a departmental appeal on 27.08.2026. However, the said appeal has not yet been decided, and the petitioner has approached this Court seeking suspension of the impugned order, particularly the order of dismissal and recovery, till the decision of his departmental appeal.

Heard and perused.

It is a well-established and recognized principle that parallel remedies cannot ordinarily be pursued. The Sindh Service Tribunals Act, 1973 provides a specific mechanism whereby, after preferring an appeal, petition or representation before the competent departmental authority, and upon expiry of a period of ninety days

without a decision thereon, the aggrieved employee may approach the Service Tribunal. In the present case, the petitioner preferred his departmental appeal on 27.08.2026, and the statutory period of ninety days has not yet elapsed. The petitioner has, therefore, approached this Court prematurely, without exhausting the remedy available to him under the law.

Accordingly, the instant petition, being not maintainable at this stage, is dismissed in *limine*.

JUDGE

JUDGE

