

ORDER SHEET
THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANO

Constitutional Petition No. S-110 of 2026.
(Abdul Samad vs P.O. Sindh and others)

Date	Orders with signature of Judge
1.	<i>For order on office objection.</i>
2.	<i>For orders on M.A No. 256/2026.</i>
3.	<i>For hearing of main case.</i>

03-06-2026.

None present.

.....

None is present on behalf of the petitioner, nor has any intimation been received. On the previous date of hearing as well, the same position prevailed.

Perusal of the material available on record reflects that the petitioner has invoked the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court seeking a direction to restrain the official respondents from causing harassment at the instance of the private respondents and has further sought protection.

It is a settled principle that where an adequate and efficacious alternate remedy is available under the law, constitutional jurisdiction under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 is ordinarily not invoked, except in exceptional and extraordinary circumstances. The present case does not disclose any such exceptional circumstance warranting interference by this Court.

The issue involved in the instant petition is squarely covered by the dictum laid down by the Honourable Division Bench of this Court in *Abdul Hameed and another v. Province of Sindh and others* (PLD 2019 Sindh 168), which has further been followed by this Court in C.P. No. S-482 of 2025

(Manzoor Ali v. Province of Sindh and others), wherein it has been held that constitutional petitions seeking protection and restraint against alleged harassment are not maintainable when the aggrieved person has an alternate remedy available under the law.

In view of the absence of the petitioner, coupled with the legal position discussed above, the instant petition is not maintainable and is hereby dismissed in limine along with the listed application(s).

JUDGE