

ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

Constitution Petition No. S-352 of 2025
Deedar and another v. P.O Sindh & others.

Date	Orders with signature of Judge
------	--------------------------------

For non-prosecution.

03-06-2026

This petition has been pending since September, 2025. The record reflects that after 27.10.2025, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioners to pursue the matter. Even today, when the case is called, neither has anyone appeared on behalf of the petitioners nor is any intimation regarding their absence received. It appears that the petitioners have lost interest in prosecuting the instant petition.

A perusal of the prayer clause reveals that the petitioners seek directions against the official respondents not to cause harassment and further seek protection of their lives and liberties.

The issue is no longer *res integra*. This Court, in *Abdul Hameed and another v. Province of Sindh and others* (PLD 2019 Sindh 168), as well as in *C.P. No. S-482 of 2025 (Manzoor Ali v. Province of Sindh and others)*, has consistently held that harassment petitions are not directly maintainable before the Constitutional Court where an adequate and efficacious alternate remedy is available under the law. Before invoking the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court, an aggrieved person is required to approach the competent forum vested with jurisdiction to redress such grievances.

In the present case, the record does not reflect that the petitioners ever approached the concerned forum or authority having jurisdiction to seek the relief claimed in the petition. Thus, the petitioners have failed to avail the alternate remedy available under the law before invoking the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court.

Accordingly, for the foregoing reasons, as well as for non-prosecution, the instant petition, being not maintainable and suffering from want of jurisdiction, is dismissed in limine.

JUDGE