

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA**

Constitution Petition No. S-27 of 2026  
*Waseem Sarwar v. P.O Sindh & others.*

---

Date	Orders with signature of Judge
------	--------------------------------

---

For non-prosecution.

**03-06-2026.**

Mr. Abdul Waris Bhutto, Assistant A.G.

\*\*\*\*\*

Mr. Noor Muhammad Lashari, Advocate, holds brief for Mr. Muhammad Afzal Jagirani, Advocate for the petitioner and submits that the learned counsel is out of station. However, it has been noted that today, the learned counsel was appearing in various matters before the Divisional Bench of this Court.

After perusal of the entire material available on record, it appears that the instant petition has been filed seeking protection against alleged harassment and for issuance of directions to provide security to the petitioner.

It is by now a settled proposition of law, as laid down by this Court in *Abdul Hameed and another v. Province of Sindh and others* (PLD 2019 Sindh 168), as well as this Circuit Court in C.P. No. S-482 of 2025 (*Manzoor Ali v. Province of Sindh and others*), that a constitutional petition seeking protection is not maintainable directly before this Court where an adequate and efficacious alternate remedy is available under the relevant law. In such circumstances, the aggrieved person is required to first approach the competent forum having jurisdiction for redress of the grievance.

A careful examination of the record reveals that the petitioner has not approached the concerned forum vested with jurisdiction to entertain and decide such grievance. Consequently, the petitioner has failed to avail the remedy provided under the law before invoking the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court.

In view of the above facts and the settled legal position, this petition, being not maintainable for want of jurisdiction and availability of an alternate statutory remedy, is dismissed in limine.

***JUDGE***