

ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Const. Petition No. D - 2838 of 2026

---

Date	Order with signature(s) of Judge(s)
------	-------------------------------------

---

1. For orders on CMA No.11697/2026
2. For orders on office objection No.9
3. For orders on CMA No.11698/2026
4. For orders on CMA No.11699/2026
5. For hearing of main case

**14.05.2026**

M/s. Sarwar Hassan and Muhammad Hassan Khan, Advocates for the petitioners.

1. Urgency granted.

2-5. Through this petition, the petitioner has prayed for issuance of writ in the nature of quo warranto against the appointment of respondent No.5 as Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of Karachi Water & Sewerage Corporation. When confronted as to any illegality or infirmity in the appointment of respondent No.5 to constitute ingredients of issuance of writ in the nature of quo warranto, which includes the eligibility of the person holding public office, illegality or irregularity in the appointment process, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the meeting convened for appointment of CEO, respondent No.5 himself was in attendance and voted for himself.

Heard arguments and perused the record.

Perusal of record reveals that the appointment of CEO of Karachi Water & Sewerage Corporation was placed in a meeting of the Board of Directors of the Corporation on 24.07.2025, wherein besides the respondent No.5 there were four other candidates, the respondent No.5 at that time was the Managing Director of the Corporation, therefore, was acting as the Secretary, however, when the agenda item was discussed, the respondent No.5 was directed to leave the Board meeting and it was decided that respondent No.5 may be appointed as the CEO. No other defect in the appointment has been pointed out. It further appears from the record that the petitioner himself was Baildar in the Corporation and in order to achieve his unscrupulous designs he has maintained this petition after about lapse of one year's time from the recommendation of the Board of

Directors of the Corporation. No case for indulgence for issuance of writ in the nature of quo warranto is made out as the petitioner has failed to point out any illegality or irregularity in the appointment process. The petition is, therefore, dismissed *in limine* along with the listed applications.

**JUDGE  
HEAD OF CONST. BENCHES**

**JUDGE**

Azeem