IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA

Criminal Misc. Appln. No. S-47 of 2026

Applicant)	:	Miss Sukha d/o Mazhar Ali Khoso, Through Mr. Abid Hussain Kalhoro, advocate
Date of hearing		09-03-2026
Date of order		09-03-2026

O R D E R

ABDUL HAMID BHURGRI, J.- Through this Criminal Miscellaneous Application, the applicant/complainant seeks cancellation of bail granted to respondents No.2 to 4 by the learned 2nd Additional Sessions Judge, Dadu, in Cr. Misc. Application No.2893/2025 (Re: Karim Bux & others v. The State) vide order dated 21.01.2026.

2. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and perused the available record. It appears from the record that the F.I.R. in the present case was lodged after a delay of about one year, for which no plausible explanation has been furnished by the complainant. Prima facie, the record further reflects that previous enmity exists between the parties over landed property, which appears to be the backdrop of the present criminal litigation.

3. A tentative assessment of the allegations levelled in the F.I.R. reveals that the role attributed to the respondents/accused is general in nature, and no specific overt act of causing injury to the deceased has been assigned to them. Moreover, learned counsel for the applicant has failed to point out any material on record demonstrating that the respondents/accused have misused the concession of bail or have attempted to interfere with the course of justice after being admitted to bail by the learned trial Court.

4. It is a settled principle of law that bail once granted should not be cancelled in a routine manner unless cogent and overwhelming circumstances are shown, such as misuse of the concession of bail, tampering with prosecution evidence, influencing witnesses, attempting to abscond, or otherwise interfering with the course of justice. The jurisdiction for cancellation of bail under Section 497(5), Cr.P.C. is therefore to be exercised sparingly and with great circumspection.

5. In the present case, the applicant has failed to demonstrate any of the grounds contemplated under Section 497(5), Cr.P.C. warranting cancellation of bail. The impugned order passed by the learned trial Court appears to be based on a proper tentative assessment of the material available on record and does not suffer from any illegality, irregularity or perversity requiring interference by this Court.

6. Consequently, no case for cancellation of bail is made out. The present Criminal Miscellaneous Application is dismissed in limine.

7. However, it is clarified that if at any stage it is shown that the respondents/accused have misused the concession of bail, attempted to tamper with the prosecution evidence, influenced witnesses, or otherwise interfered with the course of justice, the applicant/complainant shall be at liberty to approach the competent Court for cancellation of bail in accordance with law, and such application, if filed, shall be decided on its own merits without being influenced by the dismissal of the present application.

J U D G E

Abdul Salam/P.A