

25

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA.
C.P.No S- 681 of 2019.

| | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of hearing | Order with signature of Judge |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|

1. For orders on office objection
2. For orders on maintainability of main case.

10.10.2019.

Mr. Ahsan Ahmed Quraishi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Miss Kaplana Devi, Additional Advocate General, Sindh.

Pursuant to the notice of this case, Mr. Muhammad Afzal Jagirani, Advocate files Power on behalf of the respondent No.4, which is taken on record. He submits that this petition is not maintainable and has been filed with malafide intention to avoid legal proceedings to be initiated against the petitioner by the private respondents as the petitioner has issued various Cheques against certain debts which were bounced/ dishonoured upon presentation with the concerned banks. He further submits that though the private respondents have never caused any harassment to the petitioner, however, they are within their right to initiate legal proceedings in respect of bounced cheques issued to them by the petitioner. He further submits that private respondents are in the process of initiating legal proceedings against the petitioner. The official respondents No.1 to 3, have also filed their statements which are taken on record, copies whereof have been provided to the learned counsel for the petitioner. The official respondents have stated in their statements that they have never caused harassment to the petitioner nor intend to do so, however, there is dispute between petitioner and private respondents over some financial transaction. It has been further mentioned that though the petitioner has never approached the official respondents for protection however, in the event, if, the petitioner approaches them with such request, the same shall be extended to him, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the statement of official respondents expresses satisfaction and seeks disposal of the petition, which is accordingly, disposed of. However, the private respondents are at liberty to initiate any proceedings against the petitioner for redressal of their grievance, in accordance with law.


JUDGE