

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,  
MIRPURKHAS.**

Criminal Appeal No.D-15 of 2025

**Before:  
JUSTICE SHAMSUDDIN ABBASI.  
JUSTICE MUHAMMAD HASAN (AKBER).**

Appellant : Mst. Razia w/o Bilal.  
Through Mr. Dilbar Khan Laghari,  
Advocate.

Respondent : The State through Mr. Ghulam Abbas  
Dalwani, Deputy Prosecutor General  
Sindh.

Complainant : Shamshad w/o Mumtaz Ali(present in person).

Victim : Zarmeena d/o Mumtaz Ali(present in person).

Date of hearing : 15.12.2025.

Date of Order : 15.12.2025.

**O R D E R.**

**SHAMSUDDIN ABBASI, J:-** By this order, we intend to dispose of the application filed jointly by the appellant/accused and the complainant under Sections 345(2) and 345(6), Cr.P.C.

**2.** The appellant Mst. Razia has impugned the judgment dated 08.05.2025 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge/GBV Court, Khipro in Sessions Case No.405 of 2024 (Re-The State v. Muhamad Ibrahim & another), arising out of crime No.44/2024 of PS Jhol for the offence under section 376(i), 338(A)(a), 34 PPC, whereby the appellant was convicted under section 338(A)(b) PPC and sentence to undergo for five years. She preferred instant appeal and notices were issued to the complainant as well as learned A.P.G.

**3.** During pendency of instant Criminal Appeal, the parties have settled their dispute outside the Court and filed application under sections 345(2) and (6) along with affidavits sworn by the complainant Mst. Shamshad, victim Mst Zarmeena and witness.

**4.** Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant Razia was convicted and sentenced for the offence under section 338(A)(b) PPC, which is compoundable offence and alleged victim and complainant have sworn affidavits by stating therein that compromise has affected on the intervention of nekmards of locality and they have forgiven the appellant Mst Razia in the name of Almighty Allah and do not claim any Arsh/compensation.

**5.** Learned D.P.G has recorded his no objection to the extent the case of the appellant Mst Razia and submits that she was charged under section 338(A)(b) PPC, which is compoundable offence.

**6.** Allegedly victim Zarmeena and complainant Mst Shamshad are present in Court and reiterated the facts and grounds mentioned in the compromise application as well as in their affidavits and they do not claim any compensation and recorded their no objection for acquittal of the appellant Mst Razia.

**7.** In view of the above M.A No.8836/2025 & 8837/2025 under sections 345(2) and 345(6), Cr.P.C are allowed and appellant Mst Razia is acquitted of the charge by way of compromise in Criminal Appeal No.D-15/2025. The appellant is present on bail and her bail bond is cancelled and surety is discharged. This order shall not affect the case of the appellant Muhammad Ibrahim in Criminal Appeal No.14 of 2025 for offence under section 376 PPC, which is non-compoundable. Appeal stands allowed in terms of compromise, resultantly impugned judgment dated 08.05.2025 is set aside to the extent of appellant Mst Razia.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**