

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR**  
**Cr. Rev. App. No. S-97 of 2024**

**For hearing of case**

1. For orders on office objections
2. For hearing of MA No.2625/2025
3. For hearing of MA No.2626/2025
4. For hearing of main case

Date of hearing & Decision: **20.6.2025**

Mr. Sikandar Sadar Siddiqui, Advocate for the applicant  
Mr. Munawar Ali Shaikh, Advocate for Respondent  
Syed Sardar Ali Shah Rizvi, Additional Prosecutor General

**ORDER**

**All Haider 'Ada', J.** - Applicant Muhammad Hashim son of Liaqat Ali Rind, was tried in Criminal Case No.187 of 2023 (*Re. The State v. Muhammad Hashim*), arising out of Crime No.124 of 2023, registered at Police Station Ranipur, District Khairpur, for offence punishable under Section 489-F, PPC and vide judgment dated 03.7.2024, passed by learned Civil Judge & Judicial Magistrate, Sobhoderi @ Ranipur, he was convicted and sentenced to suffer S.I for three (03) years and to pay fine of Rs.30,000/- or in default thereof to suffer S.I for three (03) months more. Thereafter, applicant / accused filed Criminal Appeal No.09 of 2024 (*Re. Muhammad Hashim v. The State*) against the conviction and sentence awarded to him by the learned Magistrate, which was dismissed vide judgment dated 19.12.2024, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mirwah, who upheld the conviction and sentence recorded by the learned trial Court, hence, this Criminal Revision Application was filed.

2. During pendency of this Criminal Revision Application, above named applicant / accused and complainant have jointly filed application for permission to compound the offence as well as application for acceptance of compromise duly supported by the affidavits of the complainant, stating therein that they have

patched-up / settled their differences with each other and have compounded the offence on holly Quran on the intervention of Nekmards of the locality. The complainant has further submitted that he has compromised with the applicant/accused without any coercion, pressure, inducement, promise or threat.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant / accused, complainant and learned APG for the State, who has recorded no objection to the grant of subject applications. I have also gone through the material available on the record.

4. Under these circumstances, I am of the considered view that complainant is competent to compound / compromise the offence with the applicant / accused. Compromise arrived between the parties on the very face of it seems to be genuine and true and there would be no hesitation to accept the same, as the offence punishable under Section 489-F, PPC against the applicant / accused is compoundable and have actually been compounded. Accordingly, the permission to compound the said offence is hereby accorded. Resultantly, compromise arrived between the parties is hereby accepted. Consequently, applicant / accused is hereby acquitted under Section 345(6), Cr.P.C for an offence punishable under Section 489-F, PPC.

5. The Criminal Revision Application stands **disposed of** in the above terms along with pending applications.

