

7

PRESENTED

18/10/12

ADDITIONAL JUDGE

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR.**

Constitutional Petition No: 3016 of Year 2012

Mohammad Ramzan S/o Dur Mohammad, by caste, Jakhar,  
Adult, Muslim, Resident of Village Kolab Jeal, Taluka  
Kingri, District Khairpur Mir's.

..... **PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

1. Government of Sindh through Secretary Roads,  
Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Deputy Commissioner, Khairpur.
3. The Assistant Commissioner (Revenue)/  
Land Acquisition Officer, Taluka Kingri.
4. The District Accounts Officer, Khairpur.
5. The Additional Deputy Commissioner-II, Khairpur.
6. The Executive Engineer Roads, District Khairpur.
7. The Superintending Engineer, Roads, Sukkur.

..... **RESPONDENTS**

**CONSTITUTIONAL PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN 1973**

69

ORDER SHEET  
**THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR**  
Constitutional Petition No.D-3016 of 2012.

ORDER

WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For Katcha Peshi.

April 3, 2013.

Mr. Ghulam Mujtaba Jakhar Advocate for Petitioner.  
Mr. Agha Athar Hussain Khan A.A.G.

Through this petition, petitioner seeks following relief(s):

- (a) That this Honourable Court may graciously be pleased to direct the respondents to award the compensation with interest from the issuance of C.C Voucher/ Statement of the acquired land as well as encroaches upon excess land of the petitioner for Road/ Larkana-Khairpur bridge, as determined by this Honourable Court.
- (b) To award any other relief, this Honourable Court deems fit and proper under the circumstances of the case.

It appears that prior to this petitioner had filed civil suit No.209 of 2010  
with following relief(s):

- (a) That Honourable Court may be pleased to declare that plaintiffs being the legal and lawful owners of the land are entitled for the payment of compensation as per C.C.Voucher issued by the defendant No.2 and that the defendants be directed to sanction the voucher/ make the payment as already determined by the defendant No.2 according to the voucher issued by him.
- (b) To restrain the defendants No.2 and 3 from creating any kind of hindrance in passing the voucher and making the payment of the compensation to the plaintiffs in any manner whatsoever in nature.
- (c) To grant any other relief and costs of the suit.

74

We have heard learned counsel for petitioner and scanned the record. It appears that a civil suit No.209/2010 was filed, which has been decreed by the competent Court of law in favour of petitioner, but petitioner instead of filing execution application has preferred instant petition without any substance, accordingly the same is dismissed.

  
JUDGE

  
JUDGE

S.Ashfaq.