HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D- 960 of 2026
[Awal Khan vs. Province of Sindh and Others]

Before:
JUSTICE ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON
JUSTICE MUHAMMAD JAFFER RAZA

• Petitioner	• By Mr. Shabeer Hussain Memon, Advocate
• Respondents	• By Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri, Additional A.G, Sindh.
• Date of hearing	• 21.10.2026
• Date of Decision	• 21.10.2026

O R D E R
=

ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON J:- The petitioner has filed this Constitutional Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 with the following relief(s):-

> ***"a. Declare the impugned action/order of sealing six shops of the petitioner by the respondents as illegal, void, ab-initio, unlawful, unconstitutional, without jurisdiction, and of no legal effect,***
> ***b. That, this Honourable court may be pleased to direct the respondents to immediately remove the seals affixed upon the petitioner's six shops and restore vacant, peaceful, and unhindered possession thereof to the petitioner forthwith;***
> ***c. Declare that the petitioner is a lawful Pakistani citizen duly verified by NADRA and Election Authorities and cannot be proceeded against under the pretext of the Illegal Foreigners' Repatriation Plan, 2023 without lawful authority;***
> ***d. Restrain the respondents, their subordinates, agents, or any person acting on their behalf from harassing the petitioner or interfering in his lawful business activities;***
> ***e. That, this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the impugned sealing order during pendency of the instant petition;***
> ***f. Award compensation/costs for illegal sealing of shops, harassment, financial losses, and mental torture caused to the petitioner."***

2. The petitioner filed this Constitutional Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of Pakistan, 1973, challenging the sealing of his six cloth shops by the Assistant Commissioners, Hyderabad and Latifabad, and seeking their de-sealing, protection from harassment, and compensation.

3. Learned counsel submitted that the petitioner is a Pakistani citizen by birth, a taxpayer, and had also contested elections for the National Assembly; therefore, the sealing of his shops under the Illegal Foreigners' Repatriation Plan, 2023, was unlawful.

4. Learned A.A.G. submitted a report confirming that the subject shops had been de-sealed.

5. Without touching the merits of the case, since the purpose of the petition having been served, the petition stands disposed of along with the listed application.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Tufail