IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Bail Application No.S-1231 of 2026

Applicant	:	Muhammad Zohaib son of Muhammad Ashraf Through Zaryab Hyder Memon, Advocate
Complainant	:	Farhan Ahmed Through Mr. Faheem Rashid Khan, Advocate
The State	:	Through Mr. Irfan Ali Talpur, DPG
Date of hearing	:	02.11.2026
Date of Order	:	02.11.2026

O R D E R

OMAR SIAL, J:- Applicant Muhammad Zohaib seeks post-arrest bail in crime number 146 of 2026, under sections 397, 34 P.P.C. registered at the City Police Station, Hyderabad.

2. The allegations, in brief, are that on 22.07.2026, at about 0052 hours, two unknown persons, armed with pistols, entered the hair-cutting shop of the complainant, Farhan S/o Basheer Ahmed, situated near Dulhan Paratha, Shahi Bazar, Hyderabad, and, at gunpoint, robbed the complainant of his wallet and one Tecno Camon mobile phone. The accused persons also allegedly deprived Yasir Arafat of cash amounting to Rs. 30,000/-, Usama of one Realme Note 50 mobile phone, and Nawaz Shaikh of one Villaon mobile phone, and thereafter fled from the scene.

3- Heard the applicant's counsel and the learned Deputy Prosecutor General Sindh assisted by the learned counsel for the complainant.

4. The applicant is in jail because 28 days after an incident an FIR was lodged which claimed that the complainant Farhan Ahmed and his customers were allegedly deprived of their mobile phones by unidentified persons. The delay in the lodging of the FIR has not at all been explained. Upon a tentative assessment, it appears unnatural that a person who had allegedly committed the robbery of mobile phones would still be carrying them in his pocket 28 days later. These circumstances, at this stage, make the case one of further inquiry.

5. Learned counsel for complainant says that the police traced the mobile phones through their IMEI numbers. Even if it is correct, it is yet to be seen whether the applicant

himself committed the alleged robbery, as no identification parade has been conducted, or he is in receipt of stolen property.

6. In these circumstances, the role attributed to the applicant requires further inquiry within the meaning of Section 497(2), Cr.P.C. Accordingly, the applicant is admitted to post-arrest bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.100,000/- and a P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

7. The observations made herein are tentative in nature and shall not prejudice the merits of the case at trial.

JUDGE

Ali Haider