IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Bail Application No. S- 874 of 2026

Applicant:	Nawab Ali son of Ghulam Qadir bycaste Pitafi (Confined in District Jail Ghotki), **through** Mr. Sikandar Ali Siyal, Advocate.
The State	**Through** Mr. Muhammad Raza Katohar, Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh.

Date of hearing & Orders: 03-11-2026.

O R D E R.

Suresh Kumar, J.--- Through the instant bail application, the applicant named above seeks post arrest bail in a case bearing crime No. 103/2026, offence u/s 25 Sindh Arms Act 2013 registered at Police Station Khanbhra, District Ghotki.

2. The facts of the prosecution case, as depicted in the FIR lodged by complainant ASI Ali Abbas, are that on 22-08-2026, he, along with his subordinate staff, left the police station for patrolling. During the patrol, an encounter took place between them and the accused persons. After the encounter, accused Nawab Ali was arrested, and one unlicensed .30 pistol, along with an empty magazine, was recovered from his possession.

3. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant/accused, learned Deputy P.G for the State who has opposed the grant of bail.

4. Having given due consideration to the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the applicant/accused and the learned DPG for the State, and having reviewed the material available on record, it is revealed from the perusal of the FIR that the applicant/accused was arrested in Crime No. 102/2026 and one unlicensed pistol of .30 bore, along with an empty magazine, was recovered from him. The record further reveals that

he was arrested from the link road near Dilwaro minor at about 07:30 hours; however, no independent person from the locality has been cited as a witness. Moreover, the accused has been granted bail in the main case on the grounds of further inquiry. The present case is an offshoot of the main case; therefore, he is also entitled to the grant of bail in the present case. In this regard, reliance is placed upon the case of Anwar Khan v. The State (2014 YLR 1573). The applicant/accused has already been remanded to judicial custody and is no longer required for further investigation. All the PWs are police officials, and there is no apprehension of tampering with the prosecution evidence.

5. For the foregoing reasons above and guidance taken from the above case law, I am of considered view that the applicant/accused has successfully made out a case for the grant of bail, therefore, he is admitted to bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty thousand) and P.R bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of learned trial Court.

J U D G E

Nasim/P.A