ORDER SHEET

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Crl. Misc: Application No.S-419 of 2025

DATE	**ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)**

1. For orders on office objection (s)
2. For hearing of main case
3. For orders on stay application

17.11.2026

Ms.Rameshan Oad, D.P.G for the State

****

None present on behalf of the applicants and no intimation has been received. The same was the position on the last date of hearing. However, I have perused the impugned order dated 28.06.2025, passed by the learned II-Additional Sessions Judge/Ex-Officio Justice of Peace, Hyderabad, who while disposing of the Crl. Misc: application under Section 22-A & B Cr.P.C has held as follows:

> *"From the perusal of record, it transpires that applicant seeks direction for recording his statement U/s 154 Cr.P.C alleging therein that proposed accused persons caused domestic violence, against their parents despite of obtaining protection from this Court vide Order dated 27.05.2025 in criminal misc. application No.2679/2025. The proposed accused persons repeated their maltreatment and domestic violence, therefore, SHO of PS Pinyari is directed to hear the complainant and incorporate the facts U/s 154 Cr.P.C if the narration discloses commission of cognizable offence. The application stands disposed of accordingly."*

The respondent No.3, an elderly man, is the father of the applicants. His grievance is that his sons threaten and beat him, have more than once tried to poison him and his wife, have locked them up in their own house and keep the house in their unlawful occupation and that they carried on in the same manner even after the protection granted to him by the learned Justice of Peace on 27.05.2025 in Crl. Misc: Application No.2679 of 2025. These allegations, if found true, plainly disclose cognizable offences. The report of the SP Complaint Cell, on which the applicants rely, records no finding on their truth; it says only that the complainant could not be contacted and that the dispute appeared to be a family one. That report did not bind the learned Justice of Peace, who has done no more than direct the SHO to hear the complainant and record his

statement under Section 154 Cr.P.C if it discloses a cognizable offence. The plea that the matter is a mere family dispute is one of defence, which the applicants will be at liberty to place before the investigating officer.

No illegality has been pointed out in the impugned order calling for interference under Section 561-A Cr.P.C. The application is accordingly **dismissed** along with the listed application.

JUDGE

AHSAN K. ABRO