

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D-631 of 2026

C.P. No.D-672 of 2026

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For order on office objections.
For hearing of MA No.2595/2026.
For hearing of main case.

22.04.2026

M/s. Kashif Muneer Khaskheli and Bhagwandas Bheel advocates for petitioners along with petitioners.

M/s. Muhammad Ismail Bhutto, Additional A.G. Sindh and Nazar Muhammad Memon A.P.G. Sindh along with Inspector Dileejan Rind on behalf of SHO PS Qasimabad and ASI Jan Muhammad on behalf of SHO PS Bhitai Nagar, Hyderabad.

Mr. Ikhlaque Ahmed Domki files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.7to14, taken on record.

Through this common order, we intend to dispose of the above-captioned constitutional petitions filed by the same petitioners, namely Mst. Sadia and Israr Ahmed, involving interconnected facts and reliefs.

Initially, Constitution Petition No.D-631 of 2026 was instituted seeking quashment of FIR No.294 of 2026 registered at Police Station Zaman Town, District Korangi, Karachi, along with a prayer for protection. On 02.04.2026, the petitioners appeared before this Court and petitioner No.1 (Mst. Sadia) clearly stated that she had contracted marriage with petitioner No.2 (Israr Ahmed) out of her own free will and wish and categorically denied the allegations of abduction as narrated in the subject FIR. Notice was to the respondents and as an interim measure restrained the arrest of the petitioners in connection with the said FIR.

Subsequently, during the pendency of the above petition, the petitioners filed another petition bearing No.D-672 of 2026, seeking protection and further direction to the SHO, Police Station Saleh Patt, District Sukkur, for release of certain relatives of petitioner No.2 who were allegedly taken into custody.

Today, petitioner No.1 again appeared before this Court and reiterated her earlier statement made on 02.04.2026. She once again disowned the contents of the FIR lodged by her father (respondent No.7) and affirmed that her marriage with petitioner No.2 was solemnized voluntarily, without any coercion, inducement, or undue influence. Her statement has remained consistent, confidence-inspiring and free from any material contradiction.

Despite clear directions of this Court, the Investigating Officer has failed to appear which reflects sheer negligence and disregard for the orders of this Court. Such conduct on the part of a responsible police official is wholly unacceptable. Accordingly, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Korangi, Karachi, is directed to initiate appropriate disciplinary proceedings against the said Investigating Officer and ensure his accountability in accordance with law.

In view of the categorical and repeated statement of petitioner No.1, the alleged abductee, wherein she has explicitly denied the allegations of kidnapping, abduction or wrongful confinement, the Investigating Officer is directed to conclude the investigation strictly in accordance with law and submit a report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. before the learned competent Magistrate within a period of seven (07) days, seeking appropriate orders in light of the statement of petitioner No.1 and the material collected during investigation.

With the above observations and directions, both the instant petitions along with pending application stand **disposed of**.

Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Korangi, Karachi, for compliance.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Abdullah Channa/PS