

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR**Crl. Bail Application No. S- 651 of 2026**

Applicants: Sher Muhammad son of Illamdeen Pitafi
Mr.Abdul Raheem Mahar, Advocate.

Complainant: Through Mr. Sikandar Ali Siyal, Advocate.

The State Through Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar ,
Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh.

ORDER.
09.09.2026.

Suresh Kumar, J.--- Applicant named above seeks confirmation of the ad-interim pre-arrest bail granted to him by this Court vide order dated 06.07.2026, in a case bearing crime No. 127/2026, offence u/s 489-F PPC registered at Police Station Mirpur Mathelo, District Ghotki.

2. Brief facts of the case as depicted in the FIR are that applicant/accused borrowed amount of Rs. 30,00,000/- from the complainant and towards repayment of the said liability, issued cheque. Upon presentation, the cheque was dis-honoured by the concerned bank vide return memo dated 27.02.2026, where after complainant party lodged.

3. I have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicant/accused and learned DPG assisted by learned counsel for complainant.

4. Having given due consideration to the arguments advanced by the counsel for respective parties, perused the relevant material. From the perusal of FIR, it reveals that cheque amounting to Rs. 30 lacs against the cheque was dishonoured, for which section 489-F PPC is applied in the FIR

which provides maximum punishment upto three years and does not fall under prohibitory clause of section 497(1) Cr.P.C. Previous dispute is admitted in the FIR therefore mala fide intention on the part of complainant cannot be ruled out. Moreover, complainant is present in Court and he has raised no objection for confirmation of pre-arrest bail as the matter has been settled outside the Court.

5. For the foregoing reasons above , I am of considered view that the applicant/accused has successfully made out a case for the grant of pre-arrest bail, therefore, the interim pre arrest bail already granted to the applicant, is hereby confirmed on same terms and conditions with direction to appear before trial Court.

6. Needless to mention that the above observations are tentative in nature and will not prejudice the case of parties at the time of trial.

J U D G E

Irfan