

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR.
Crl. Bail Application No.S-130 of 2026.

DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-----------------	-------------------------------

Applicant:	Basheer Ahmed S/O Khadim Hussain Bhanbhan, through Mr. Shabbir Ali Bozdar Advocate.
Complainant:	Mst. Lal Khatoon @ Sharifan W/O Sabir Khan Bhabhan, through Mr.Zulfiqar Ali Panhwar Advocate
The State:	Through Mr. Mansoor Ahmed Shaikh D.PG.

Date of hearing & order: 08.09.2026.

Suresh Kumar J:- Through this criminal Bail application the applicant namely Basheer Ahmed Bhanbhan seeks pre arrest bail in Crime No.72 of 2025 registered at PS Halani, District Naushahro Feroze for offences punishable U/Ss 302,324, 147,148 & 504 PPC.

2. The facts of the prosecution as depicted in the FIR are that the complainant, Mst. Lal Khatoon @ Sharifan, lodged the FIR on 04.05.2025 at 0600 hours at the common street/house of the complainant situated in Deh Pir Watio, Taluka Mehrabpur. The present applicant/accused, along with other co-accused, including his wife Mst. Irshad Khatoon, caused blows to the deceased, Muhammad Yaseen, on his head with an iron rod, resulting in the death of Muhammad Yaseen.

3. The applicant initially filed pre arrest bail application before the Court of the learned Additional Sessions Judge Kandiaro, which was dismissed vide order dated 02.02.2026. Being aggrieved by this dismissal order, the applicant has approached this Court.

4. Having given due consideration to the arguments advanced by the counsel for the respective parties and having perused the relevant material, it is revealed from the perusal of the FIR that Basheer Ahmed, being named in the FIR, has been assigned the specific role of causing iron rod blows to the deceased, Muhammad Yaseen, on his head. The version of the complainant is supported by the PWs Naseem Ali and Zaheer Ali, as well as the medical evidence available on record. Therefore, prima facie, sufficient material is available to connect the present applicant with the commission of the alleged offence of murder of the deceased, Muhammad Yaseen. The alleged offence with which the applicant is charged is punishable by death or life imprisonment and falls within the ambit of the prohibitory clause of Section 497(1) Cr.P.C. As for the case law relied upon by the learned defense counsel, as reported in 2025 SCMR 2059, 2022 SCMR 198, 2021 SCMR 1263, and 2020 SCMR 956, I have reviewed the same case law and find that the facts and circumstances of these reported cases are not identical to those of the present case; thus, they are not helpful to the applicant in this particular instance. Moreover, no any malafide has been brought on record to falsely implicate the present applicant/accused in the alleged offence which is pre requisite for grant of pre arrest bail.

5. For the foregoing reasons, I am of the considered view that applicant/accused has failed to make out his case for grant of pre arrest bail, therefore, the bail application is dismissed, while interim pre arrest bail already granted on 10.02.2026, is hereby recalled.

6. Needless to say that the above observations are tentative in nature and will not prejudice the case of either party at the time of trial.

J U D G E

Hizbullah

