

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
C. P. No. D-5456 of 2026

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

FRESH CASE.

- 1. For orders on Misc. No.21527/2026.
- 2. For orders on Office Objection No.1.
- 3. For orders on Misc. No.21528/2026.
- 4. For orders on Misc. No.21529/2026.
- 5. For hearing of main case.

01.09.2026.

Mr. Irfan Ali Shaikh, Advocate for the Petitioner.

- 1. Granted.

- 3. Granted, subject to all just exceptions.

2,4&5. The Petitioner has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution, seeking to impugn the Order dated 01.07.2026 passed by the Customs Appellate Tribunal, at Karachi in Customs Appeals Nos.K-1341/2025 & K-1279 to K-1284/2025, and K-1427/2025 to K-1445/2025, dismissing the Petitioner’s Application under Order I Rule 10 (2) CPC. As it transpires, the aforementioned Appeals had been filed by the Respondents Nos.3 and 4, impugning certain Orders-in-Original made against them within the scheme of Customs Act, 1969, with the Application preferred by the Petitioner turning on the assertion that it ought to be heard in the matter for the purpose of rendering assistance to the Tribunal for facilitating its adjudication inasmuch as the Petitioner was an interested party in its capacity as a Local Manufacturer. While dismissing the Application the Tribunal noted that:-

“Under the given law; and circumstances of the impugned cases no undue nexus can be created to allow intervention by a third party. We are afraid that admitting a third party intervention in the hearing proceedings will simply defeat the purpose of justice and fairness while deciding upon the grievance brought before the Tribunal against the Orders-In-Original passed against them. M/s. Siddiq Sons may have legitimate concern to protect their interest as well as of local industry against any illegitimate import of ETP; however needless to say that M/s Siddiq Sons has to look for available options under the law to express their grievances before the right forum. They have no right under Section 194A and 194C of the Act *ibid* being not aggrieved with the impugned Orders-In-Original.”

Having considered the matter, we concur with the Tribunal’s assessment and see no error or infirmity in the matter warranting any interference. The Petition is found to be misconceived and accordingly stands dismissed *in limine*, along with the pending Miscellaneous Applications.

JUDGE

JUDGE

MUBASHIR