

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Criminal Bail Application No. 1442 of 2026

Applicant : Muhammad Bilal @ Dada
son of Muhammad Akram
Through Mr. Muhammad Jamil
advocate

Complainant : Zeeshan Abbasi
son of Muhammad Afraheem
Through Mr. Maroof Hussain
Hashmi advocate.

The State : The State:
Through Ms. Sharafuddin Kanhar,
A. P. G. Sindh

Date of hearing : 28.08.2026.

Date of Order : 03.09.2026.

ORDER

Jan Ali Junejo, J:- Through this order, I intend to decide the instant post-arrest bail application filed under Section 497 Cr.P.C. by the applicant Muhammad Bilal @ Dada son of Muhammad Akram, who seeks his release on bail in connection with FIR No.357 of 2024 registered at Police Station Bahadurabad, Karachi for the offences punishable under Sections 302/34 PPC R/w Section 109/114 PPC.

Heard learned counsel for respective parties and perused the record.

It is an admitted position that the applicant had earlier approached this Court by filing Cr. B.A. No.972/2026, which was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 30.04.2026 with the specific observation that the applicant would approach the learned trial Court for the appropriate relief. However, instead of availing the said remedy before the learned trial Court, the applicant has again approached this Court by filing the instant bail application.

Learned counsel for the applicant has not been able to point out any new, subsequent or fresh ground arising after the passing of the aforesaid order dated 30.04.2026, warranting reconsideration of the applicant's request for bail by this Court. In the absence of any fresh circumstance or change in the factual position, the filing of the instant application before this Court, without first approaching the learned trial Court as undertaken at the time of withdrawal of the earlier bail application does not justify reopening the matter before this Court.

It is settled that where a bail application has been withdrawn with liberty/direction to approach the competent forum, the applicant is required to avail the remedy so indicated, unless a fresh circumstance or substantial change in the circumstances is shown. In the present case, no such fresh ground or changed circumstance has been demonstrated. Consequently, the instant application, being devoid of any new ground and having been filed without availing the remedy before the learned trial Court, does not call for interference by this Court.

Accordingly, the instant post-arrest bail application is dismissed.

JUDGE