

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Crl. Bail Application No. S-342 of 2026

Applicant:	Zulfiqar Ali @ Zulfo son of Shah Nawaz bycaste Wassan, through Mr. Ghulam Sarwar Halepoto Advocate.
The State	Through Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh.
Date of hearing:	18-08-2026.
Dated of order	18-08-2026.

ORDER.

Suresh Kumar, J.--- Through instant bail applicant, applicant Zulfiqar Ali @ Zulfo Wassan seeks post arrest bail in a case bearing crime No. 18/2019, offence u/s 302, 311, 148, 149, 337H(2) PPC registered at Police Station Kumb, District Khairpur.

2. Concisely the facts of the prosecution case as depicted in the FIR are that complainant SIP Manzoor Ahmed Shaikh lodged the FIR on 01-02-2019 alleging therein that on receipt of spy information he along with his subordinate staff reached at the house of Pervaiz Wassan where they saw that accused Zulfiqar along with four unknown accused came out from the house of Pervaiz Wassan and made aerial firing and went away. Complainant party entered into the house and found the dead body of one young girl aged about 13/14 years lying on the cot. One lady Mst. Khurshed disclosed the dead body of Mst. Ramsha, who was killed by accused Zulfiqar and others by leveling allegation of Karap. After completion of usual formalities, complainant lodged the above said FIR.

3. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant/accused, learned Deputy P.G for the State and have gone through the material available on record.

4. From the perusal of FIR, it reveals that applicant Zulfiqar @ Zulfo has assigned the specific role of causing firearm injuries to deceased from his pistol and such version is also supported by PWs PC Bashir Ahmed, PC Jabbar Ali as well as Mst. Khurshed, the mother of the deceased and medical evidence in shape of postmortem report. Recovery of crime weapon was also affected from the applicant/accused, therefore, there is sufficient material available on record which connects the applicant/accused with the commission of offence. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused has also agitated the statutory ground of delay in conclusion of trial. Record reveals that delay is attributed to the applicant/accused or his counsel as mentioned in paragraph No. 7 of the impugned order dated 17-07-2025 passed by learned trial Court; therefore, the applicant is also not entitled for bail on the said ground.

5. For the foregoing reasons, I am of the considered view that applicant/accused has failed to make out case for grant of bail on merits as well as on statutory ground of delay; therefore, the bail application of the applicant/accused is dismissed. However, learned trial Court is directed to dispose of the matter expeditiously preferably within period of three months.

6. Needless to say that the above observations are tentative in nature and will not prejudice the case of either party at the time of trial.

J U D G E