

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
1st Crl. Bail Appln. No.D-113 of 2026

PRESENT:

Mr. Justice Riazat Ali Sahar,
Mr. Justice Ali Haider 'Ada',

Applicant : Soobo Bunglani,
through Mr. Safdar Ali G. Bhutto, Advocate.

Respondent : The State, through Mr. Aitbar Ali Bullo,
Deputy Prosecutor General.

Date of hearing : 03.09.2026.
Date of Order : 03.09.2026.

ORDER

RIAZAT ALI SAHAR, J.- Through instant Criminal Bail Application, applicant Soobo son of Shahzado *alias* Shah Nawaz, by caste Bunglani, seeks post arrest bail in Crime No.29/2026, registered at Police Station Tangwani, District Kashmore at Kandhkot, for offence under Sections 23(1)(a) & 25, Sindh Arms Act, 2013. His bail application was dismissed by the learned trial Court i.e. Judge, Anti-Terrorism Court, Kashmore at Kandhkot, vide order dated 02.06.2026.

2. According to the case of prosecution, the applicant was apprehended by a police party of PS Tangwani headed by ASI Nando Khan Gujrani after an encounter on 09.4.2026 in the main case/crime No.28/2026 of same police station and recovery of an unlicensed pistol of 30-bore loaded with 03-live bullets in its magazine as well as a hand-grenade was made, hence he was booked in this case on behalf of State.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant argued that the applicant has been falsely implicated in this case by the police and the alleged recovery has been foisted upon him. He further contended that both the mashirs of recovery are police officials and subordinates to the complainant and no any private person has been cited as witness of the alleged recovery. Learned Counsel contended that the case against the applicant requires further enquiry. Lastly,

he contended that the case has been challaned and the applicant/accused is no more required for any further investigation.

4. Learned Deputy Prosecutor General, on the other hand, opposed the grant of bail, on the ground that the applicant was arrested by the police and an unlicensed pistol of 30-bore loaded with 03 live bullets in its magazine as well as a hand-grenade have been recovered from him, therefore, he is not entitled to concession of bail.

5. It is an admitted position that the police party acted on the spy information received during patrolling, yet no private person was picked or associated from the way to attest and/or witness the alleged recovery. The case has been challaned, therefore, the applicant is no more required for investigation purpose. There is no apprehension of tampering with the prosecution evidence. The applicant has also been granted concession of bail in main case based on Crime No.28/2026 of P.S Tangwani, registered for offence u/s 402, 324, 353, 399, 148, 149, PPC, 4/5 Explosive Substance Act and 6/7, Anti-Terrorism Act, 1997. Hence, this being offshoot case, the applicant deserves to be released on bail.

6. Keeping in view the above facts and circumstances, we hold that the applicant/accused has been able to make out a case for grant of bail in the instant offshoot case as well. Therefore, instant bail application is allowed and the applicant is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.100,000/- (Rupees One Lac only) and P.R. Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of trial Court.

JUDGE

JUDGE