IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 236 of 2026
(Ali Raza Behan versus State and others)

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

1. For hearing of MA 1594/2026
2. For hearing of main case.

02-11-2026

Mr. Muhammad Iqbal Memon, Advocate for Applicant.
Mr. Sabir Hussain Abbasi advocate for respondent No.7.
Mr. Khalil Ahmed Maitlo, D.P.G

****

Suresh Kumar,J. Through instant Crl Misc. Application under section 561-A Cr.P.C, the applicant has impugned order dated 17-03-2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge/Ex-officio Justice of Peace, Moro in Cr. Misc. Application No.670/2026 Re. Ali Raza Vs The State and others filed by the applicant, thereby dismissed application under section 22-A-& 22-B Cr.P.C for registration of FIR.

2. The allegations levelled by the applicant in his application against the proposed accused/respondents are that on 06-03-2025, at about 3:15 p.m., the SHO, Police Station Sadhoja, called the applicant to his office and questioned him as to why he was not pursuing the cases pending before the High Court of Sindh, Sukkur Bench. Thereafter, the SHO allegedly threatened the applicant, unlawfully confined him in the lock-up and, after receiving a bribe, released him.

3. I have heard learned counsel for applicant, learned DPG and learned counsel for respondent No. 7.

4. Having given due consideration to the arguments advanced by learned counsel for the parties and having perused the impugned order, it appears that the applicant alleged that, on 06-03-2021, at about 02:00 p.m., HC Long Dahri contacted him through his mobile phone and directed him to appear at the Police Station. Upon his arrival, the SHO allegedly detained him in the lock-up and released him after receiving illegal gratification. However, as per the report submitted by the DSP, Complaint Redressal Cell, Naushahro Feroze, no such incident had taken place, and the allegations levelled by the applicant were found to be baseless. The said report is adverse to the applicant. Once the police had formed their opinion on the matter, the appropriate remedy available to the applicant was to approach the competent Court by way of filing a direct complaint. In the case of *Muhammad Fareed v. Government of Sindh and others* (2002 CLC 530), the Honourable Supreme Court emphasized that a private complaint provides an equal and adequate remedy to the complainant, who has the full opportunity to produce his entire evidence directly before the Court.

5. For the foregoing reasons, and in the light of the guidance derived from the cited case law, the instant Criminal Miscellaneous Application is dismissed. Consequently, the impugned order dated 17-03-2026 is maintained. However, applicant/complainant is at liberty to avail alternate remedy in shape of direct complaint, if, he is advised so.

J U D G E

Nasim//P.A