ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Criminal Bail Application No.S-1394 of 2026
Criminal Bail Application No.S-1395 of 2026

Applicants:	Shahzeb son of Azizullah Masood Pathan and Najeebullah son of Nek Badshah Masood Pathan through Mr. Aijaz Ali Jalbani, Advocate.
The State:	Through Mr. Altaf Hussain Khokhar, D.P.G for the State.
Date of hearing:	26.10.2026.
Date of order:	26.10.2026.

O R D E R

OMAR SIAL, J. The complainant (Naimatullah Khan) runs a poultry feed manufacturing factory named Al-Hafiz Feed, employing approximately 50 to 60 workers. On 17.08.2026 at 04:35 AM, the complainant was informed by his employee, Qaisar s/o Abdul Rehman Ghurmani, via mobile phone that at approximately 03:00 AM, seven (07) unknown armed persons equipped with pistols forcefully entered the mill premises. Upon entry, the accused persons, at gunpoint, overpowered and disarmed the security guards posted at the main gate, tied their hands, and locked them inside the guard room. The robbers then proceeded to rob all laborers and staff of cash and mobile phones of various makes/models. They also looted Rs. 372,000 in cash, one HP Laptop, three (03) coils of 110/MM copper wire, and one (01) coil of 205-foot 70/MM wire from the store. F.I.R. No. 70 of 2026 was registered under sections 397 and 342 P.P.C. at the Nooriabad police station.

2- I have heard the applicant's learned counsel and the learned Deputy Prosecutor General. The complainant was present in person but did not wish to engage counsel.

3- The record reflects that the F.I.R. was registered against unknown persons. On 17.09.2026 (a month later), the complainant recorded a further statement nominating the applicants (Shazeb and Naseebullah) and six others as the accused. The statement does not reveal the source of his information, and no identification parade was held after his arrest on 21.09.2026. The complainant present in court now says that he has no objection to the applicant being granted bail. An offence under section 397 P.P.C. is not bailable; however, what is observed in this paragraph, coupled with the

complainant's somersault, makes the case against the applicant one of further inquiry. As regards, the case under the arms legislation the benefit of doubt in this case will extend to the above captioned off-shot case as well.

4- Given the above, the applicants Shazeb and Naseebullah are admitted to post-arrest bail subject to their furnishing a solvent surety of Rs.500,000 each and a P.R. Bond for the same amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.

J U D G E

Ahmed/Pa,