ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Criminal Bail Application No.S-301 of 2026

Applicant:	Muhammad Irfan son of Muhammad Iqbal through Mr. Ghulamullah Chang, Advocate.
Complainant:	Through Mr. Alamgir Malik, Advocate.
The State:	Through Mr. Altaf Hussain Khokhar, D.P.G for the State.
Date of hearing:	26.10.2026.
Date of order:	26.10.2026.

O R D E R

OMAR SIAL, J. Muhammad Irfan the applicant, has sought post arrest bail in crime number 03 of 2025 registered under sections 397, 324 P.P.C. at P.S. Budhani, District Hyderabad. Earlier, his application seeking bail was dismissed on 26.02.2026 by the learned Model Criminal Trial Court/1st Additional Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.

2- Briefly stated, the prosecution case is that on 12.04.2025 at 05:45 hours, near Dargah Faqeer Ali Muhammad Solangi on the National Highway (Hyderabad to Matiari road), the applicant, along with co-accused Ahmed Narejo, Qadir Bux alias Haji Brohi, and proclaimed offenders Talib, Imdad Ali, and Saddam Hussain, duly armed with pistols, committed a dacoity. It is alleged that the accused, riding in a Toyota Corolla car, chased and intercepted a Honda Civic car (bearing Registration No. BHK-230) carrying cattle traders Abdul Nabi (complainant) and P.W. Abdul Ghani. The accused opened fire, forcibly stopped the vehicle, and robbed the complainant and P.W. of cash amounting to Rs. 50,00,000/- (Rupees Fifty Lacs).

3- Heard the learned counsel for the applicant/accused, complainant as well as the learned Assistant Prosecutor General, Sindh.

4- Admittedly, no identification parade has been held following the arrest of the applicant. Although the learned D.P.G. submits that the applicant was involved in conducting recce (surveillance), there is no solid or cogent evidence at this stage to substantiate the said allegation.

5- The learned D.P.G. has, however, drawn the attention of this Court to the criminal antecedent of the applicant, which reflects that eight other cases—primarily involving police encounters and illicit arms, alongside one under narcotics legislation—stand registered against him. While the applicant is not a stranger to crime, the merits of the instant case must be adjudicated strictly on the basis of the available record.

6- In view of the foregoing, the case against the applicant clearly falls within the ambit of further inquiry under sub-section (2) of Section 497 Cr.P.C.

7- However, keeping in view his criminal record spanning over six years, the applicant is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.1,000,000/- (Rupees One Million) with a P.R. Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

J U D G E

Ahmed/Pa,