HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D-2241 of 2014

[Nasrullah vs. The Province of Sindh and others]

C.P. No.D-1865 of 2015

[Nasrullah vs. The Province of Sindh and others]

Before: **JUSTICE ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON**
JUSTICE MUHAMMAD JAFFER RAZA

Petitioner:	By Mr. Nazeer Ahmed Bhatti advocate
Respondent QUEST:	By Mr. Kamaluddin advocate
Respondent MUET:	By Mr. Abdullah K. Leghari advocate
Respondent Larkana University:	By Mr. Safdar Hussain Leghari advocate
Province of Sindh:	By Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri Additional A.G Sindh
Date of hearing	21.10.2026
Date of Decision	21.10.2026

ORDER

***

ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON J. – The petitioner, Nasrullah Odhano, challenges QUEST Syndicate Resolution No. SYN-42.31 dated 18.09.2014, consequential order dated 02.10.2014, and MUET orders dated 12.01.2015 and 21.04.2015, seeking regularization, restoration of service, and protection of his lien with MUET. He contends that, despite being a confirmed MUET employee in BPS-14, his services were terminated without due process, while his contractual service at QUEST was not regularized despite the notification dated 01.12.2011.

2. Conversely, the respondents maintain that his contractual engagement expired lawfully, he has no vested right to regularization, and his claims regarding retrospective appointment and upgradation are untenable. He states that one of the petition has become infructuous by operation of law and liable to be dismissed.

3. The learned A.A.G. submits that the University of Larkana's Syndicate, vide resolution dated 11.01.2026, confirmed his regularization as Superintendent with effect from 18.02.2020, rejecting his claim for retrospective appointment and upgradation; therefore, the matter may be disposed of in terms of the Syndicate's decision.

4. Since the petitioner confined his submissions to the extent of counting of his past service in the later department in such circumstances without touching the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to the competent authorities of MUET and QUEST, in coordination with the University of Larkana, to reconcile the petitioner's service record if possible, examine the legal status of his lien and determine the reckonability of his past service in accordance with the applicable laws, rules and regulations. If such service is found legally reckonable, it shall be duly reflected in his service record and counted towards his service for pensionary and other retirement benefits, if any, after such retirement, subject to fulfilment of the prescribed conditions. The exercise shall be completed within three months by passing a reasoned and speaking order after affording the petitioner an opportunity of hearing.

JUDGE

JUDGE