ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Cr. Jail Appeal No. S- 100 of 2026

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

1. For hearing of MA No.6097/2026 (426) Cr.P.C
2. For hearing of MA No.7153/2026 (345(2) Cr.P.C.
3. For hearing of MA No. 7154/2026 (345 (6) Cr.P.C
4. For hearing of main case.

Appellant:	Muhammad Ali son of Lal Bux @ Lal Muhammad Gadani **through** Mr. Sikandar Ali Junejo, advocate.
Complainant:	Naik Muhammad son of Abdul Rehman Gadani **through** Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Abbasi, advocate.
The State:	Through Khalil Ahmed Maitlo, Deputy Prosecutor General.

-.-.-.-.--.-.

Date of hearing & Order: **29-10-2026.**

J U D G M E N T.

SURESH KUMAR, J-. Through instant Criminal Jail Appeal, the appellant above named has assailed the judgment dated 21-08-2026 passed by learned IIIrd Additional Sessions Judge Ghotki, in Sessions case No. 672/2024 Re: The State Vs. Muhammad Ali, where the appellant was convicted for offence punishment u/s 324 PPC read with section 34 PPC with imprisonment for term of ten years as Ta'azir and to pay fine of Rs. 20,00,000/- (rupees two lacs). In case of non-payment of fine, he will also undergo imprisonment for two years more. The appellant was also convicted for offence punishable u/s 337F(v) PPC JGJ hashimah read with section 34 PPC to pay Daman of Rs. 3,00,000/- (rupees three lacs) for payment to injured P.W Nek Muhammad Gadani as well as with imprisonment for five years as Ta'azir. Learned trial Court also ordered that he would remain inside prison till payment of Daman and the benefit of Section 382-B Cr.P.C was also extended to the appellant/convict.

2. During the pendency of the appeal, compromise applications were filed under Sections 345(2) and 345(6) Cr.P.C., supported by affidavits from the

complainant, Abdul Rehman, bearing CNIC No. 45104-0513104-9, and injured PW Nek Muhammad, bearing CNIC No. 45104-2468976-7. In their affidavits, they have stated that due to the intervention of Nekmard, they have reached a compromise with the appellant/accused and have patched up their past differences. They have further stated that amicably compromised in the present case and sincerely desire to lead their future lives in an atmosphere of harmony and peace after burying all their past disputes and differences.

3. After filing of compromise application, notice was issued to the Deputy P.G for the State.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the appellant/accused, the learned Deputy Prosecutor General for the State, and the learned counsel for the complainant. The learned counsel for the complainant and the learned Deputy Prosecutor General have raised no objection to the acquittal of the appellant/accused on the basis of compromise. Injured Nek Muhammad, present in the Court, has also verified the affidavit submitted with the compromise application and stated that he has voluntarily compromised with the appellant and waived his right of Qisas and Daman. He has also raised no objection to the acquittal of the appellant/accused.

5. Since all the sections are compoundable and the injured has waived his right of Qisas and Daman, the injured has also raised no objection to the acquittal of the appellant/accused on the basis of compromise. Therefore, permission to compound the offence in pursuance of Section 345(2) Cr.P.C. is hereby granted, and the compromise between the parties is accepted under Section 345(6) Cr.P.C. Consequently, the appellant is acquitted of the charge(s). The appellant, who is currently incarcerated, shall be released forthwith, provided he is not required in any other custody case. Accordingly, the appeal stands disposed of along with all listed application(s) in terms of the compromise.

J U D G E

Nasim/P.A