

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
Cr. B.A. No.1580 of 2026
(Zahid Hussain @ Barkazi vs. The State)

Present:
Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro
Mr. Justice Khalid Hussain Shahani

For hearing of bail application

Date of hearing
& order **19.10.2026**

M/s Azhar Ali Shaikh and Gulshar Baloch, advocate for applicant
Ms. Amna Ansari, Addl: PG Sindh

ORDER

Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro, J:- Applicant is seeking post arrest bail in a case bearing Crime No.12/2026, u/s 4/5 Explosive Act, 1908, r/w section 7 ATA, 1997, registered at Police Station CTD District Korangi, Karachi, by means of this application.

2. It is alleged that on 16.02.2026, applicant, alongwith two other accused named in the FIR were arrested by CTD Karachi from inside Noorani Qabrustan, Chakra Goth, Korangi Karachi and from each one of them, explosive substance and other material such as detonators etc. were recovered. From applicant, it is specifically alleged that 2 KG explosive substance, a detonator and ball bearings 1 KG were recovered besides personal belongings, hence they all were booked in FIR bearing Cr. No.14/2026 U/s 4,5 Explosive Substance Act r/w section 7 ATA of P.S. CTD Karachi.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has pleaded for bail on the rule of consistency stating that two co-accused arrested along with applicant have been granted bail by this Court as well as by the learned trial Court. The applicant's case is on same footing, as applicant was also picked up from his house prior to FIR which was reported by his uncle Abdul Ghaffar Baloch to DG Rangers (Sindh) on 27.01.2026. In this regard, also a CP No.D-884/2026 was filed before this Court, but subsequently applicant was shown arrested in the present case.

4. Learned Addl: PG Sindh has opposed bail.

5. However, we have seen that two accused, namely, Khuda Baksh and Abdul Ghaffar Baloch, who were arrested along with applicant from the spot, and from whom explosive etc. were recovered, have been granted bail. Hence, the rule of consistency is applicable to the case of applicant.

More so, *prima facie* there is record showing that applicant was taken away from his home prior to registration of FIR. Further, the case has been challaned, and the applicant is no more required for further investigation.

6. Accordingly, this bail application is allowed and the applicant is granted bail subject to his furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.100,000/ (Rupees one hundred thousand only) with P.R. bond in the like amount to be executed to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. Bail application stands disposed of in the above terms. The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rafiq/PA.