

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Criminal Bail Application No. 2661 of 2026

Applicant : Zeeshan Iqbal S/o. Javed Iqbal
Through Mr. Muhammad Nawaz, advocate

Respondent : The State
Through Ms. Najma Latif Golo, A.P.G
Sindh.

Complainant : Rub Nawaz son of Hajjan Soormo
In person

Date of hearing : 25.09.2026.

Date of order : 25.09.2026..

ORDER

Jan Ali Junejo, J.— Applicant Zeeshan Iqbal S/o. Javed Iqbal seeks post-arrest bail in a case bearing Crime No. 882/2026, for offence under section 392/397/34 PPC of P.S Steel Town. Karachi. Prior to this, the applicant had sought the same relief before the learned Additional Sessions Judge-IV, Malir at Karachi, which was declined vide order dated 17.08.2026.

2. The prosecution case, as set out in FIR No.882/2026 lodged by complainant Rab Nawaz S/o Hajjan Soomro at Police Station Steel Town, Karachi on 03.08.2026 at 0135 hours is that the complainant is residing at House No.397, Block-C, Soomar Goth, Malir, Karachi and has his own shop at Soomar Goth. On 27.07.2026, he handed over his motorcycle bearing registration No.KOM-9445, make Super Power, colour black, model 2021, Engine No.1465104 and Chassis No.SP-1516575, to his nephew Muhammad Aqib Soomro S/o Khuda Bux Soomro for dropping children at a madrasa. According to the complainant, his nephew informed him that when he reached at Soomar Jokhio Goth, two young persons wearing shalwar qameez, while armed with weapons, intercepted him, forced him to get down from the motorcycle, took away cash amounting to Rs.3,000/- from his pocket and snatched the motorcycle at gunpoint, thereafter fleeing towards Ghaghar Phatak. The complainant stated that he informed Police Madadgar-15 and thereafter approached the police station for registration of the case. The FIR was consequently lodged against two unknown young persons for having robbed his nephew of Rs.3,000/- and his motorcycle at gunpoint, hence, this FIR.

3. Per learned counsel for the applicant, the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case; that the offence in which the

applicant has been charge does not fall within the prohibitory clause and urged that the applicant be extended the concession of bail.

4. The A. P. G. Sindh, while not opposing the grant of bail, recorded her no objection to the instant application in view of the affidavit filed by the complainant, same is taken on record, wherein he has expressly raised no objection to the applicant being admitted to bail.

5. Notably, the complainant has since submitted a sworn affidavit in which he has raised no objection to the grant of bail to the applicant. This has resulted in two conflicting versions, one set forth in the FIR and the other contained in the complainant's affidavit. Such divergence creates a situation where the veracity of the complainant's statements and his credibility can only be determined at the time of trial, after the recording of evidence.

6. In light of the above circumstances and keeping in view the principles laid down under Section 497(2) Cr.P.C., the applicant has succeeded in making out a case of further inquiry. Accordingly, the applicant is admitted to post-arrest bail upon furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) and a PR Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial court.

7. Before parting, it is observed that the findings recorded hereinabove are purely tentative in nature and shall not prejudice the case of either party during the course of trial. The trial Court shall evaluate the evidence independently and uninfluenced by any observation made in this order.

8. The applicant is directed to ensure regular attendance before the trial Court and shall not, in any manner, attempt to influence or interfere with the prosecution witnesses. Any violation of these conditions may entail cancellation of bail in accordance with law.

J U D G E