

*ORDER SHEET***HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD**Cr. Bail Application No.S-869 of 2026.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
	For orders on office objections. For hearing of main case.

23.09.2026.

Mr. Mashooque Ali Mahar, Advocate for applicant/accused.
Ms. Shamim Mughal, Assistant Attorney General, Pakistan alongwith SI Waqar Ahmed from FIA, Hyderabad.

The applicant/accused, Mohammad Jameel Soomro, has filed this post-arrest bail application under Section 497 Cr.P.C. for the second time before this (High) Court. On the first occasion, this Court dismissed the bail application, observing that the applicant/accused may file a fresh bail application before the trial court on submission of the final challan, which would be decided on its own merits. I have considered the impugned order dismissing the second post-arrest bail application, filed after the final challan, as per the final paragraph of the Order (paragraph 6) passed by this (High) Court dated 25.05.2026. The learned Special Judge, Anti-Corruption (Central), Hyderabad dismissed the second post-arrest bail application on the ground that the final challan has been put up, which itself is no ground for filing such a bail application. Additionally, I have compared the order dated 06.04.2026 passed by the Special Judge, Anti-Corruption (Central), Hyderabad, with the order dated 27.06.2026 passed by the same Court and do not find any articulation of fresh reasoning for dismissal of the application. The impugned Order dated 27.06.2026 reiterates the same grounds for dismissal (of the second bail application) as made out by the trial Court while dismissing the first post-arrest bail application, without

finding whether the contents (grounds raised) in the second post-arrest bail application are the same as in the first.

To safeguard the rights of the applicant/accused, and not to deny the right of appeal, the bail application is remanded to the Special Judge, Anti-Corruption (Central), Hyderabad, to reconsider the second post-arrest bail application and decide it afresh in light of the observations made hereinabove.

Accordingly, the instant Cr. Bail Application stands dismissed in the above terms.

JUDGE