

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Cr. Bail Appl.No.3040 of 2026
Cr. Bail Appl. No.2725 of 2026

Date	Order with signature of the Judge
------	-----------------------------------

Present:

Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro.
Mr. Justice Khalid Hussain Shahani.

Naveedullah & Ikramullah Vs. The State

15.10.2026.

Mir Asadullah Khan Jadoon, Advocate for applicant Naveedullah.
Mr. Kamran Javed, advocate for applicant Ikramullah.
Mr. Musharaf Azhar, Special Prosecutor ANF.

O R D E R

=

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Applicant Naveedullah was arrested by ANF on 10.04.2026 on spy information, from Daud Chowrangi near main Korangi Road, Karachi and from him allegedly 4.800 K.Gs of Charas was recovered, hence he was booked in FIR No.17/2026 U/s 9(1) (3) (C), 14, 15 CNS Act of P.S.ANF Korangi, Karachi. In the interrogation, he disclosed that said Charas was supplied to him by co-accused Ikramullah, hence he was also arrested on 12.04.2026 and arraigned in the case.

2. Learned counsel for applicant Naveedullah has pleaded for bail on the ground that his case requires further inquiry; no private witness is there; applicant Naveedullah was taken away from his home illegally which was reported to the police by his brother. Applicant Ikramullah's counsel has argued that except statement of co-accused, no evidence is available against him.

3. On the other hand, learned Spl. Prosecutor ANF has opposed the bail.

4. We have considered arguments and perused material, and are of the view that applicant Naveedullah is not entitled to concession of bail in view of *prima facie* evidence: recovery of huge quantity of Charas supported by the witnesses in their 161 Cr.P.C statements and the Lab. Report confirming the same substance as narcotics.

5. Notwithstanding, the case of applicant Ikramullah is different as he has been arraigned in the case only on the basis of statement of co-accused. Except that *prima facie* the prosecution has failed to collect any other evidence in confirmation of the same allegations which are yet to stand test of trial. Therefore, at this stage, his case requires further inquiry. Consequently, he is

granted bail subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.100,000/- and P.R bond in the same amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

6. The bail application of applicant Naveedlullah is dismissed. However, the trial court is directed to expedite the trial and examine witnesses in 04 months without fail, after which said applicant shall be at liberty to repeat his application for the same relief, which shall be decided on its own merits.

The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

The Cr. Bail Applications are disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

A.K