

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, MIRPURKHAS

Cr. Bail Application No.S-517 of 2026

Applicant: Dilsher son of Ghulam Hyder,
Through Ms. Mehr Un Nisa, advocate

Respondent The state through Mr. Ghulam Abbas Dalwani,
learned D.P.G.

Date of Hearing: 13.10.2026

Date of Order: 13.10.2026

ORDER

Nisar Ahmed Bhanbhro, J: By means of the instant application, the applicant Dilsher son of Ghulam Hyder seeks post-arrest bail in crime No.130/2026 for offence under Section 324, 353, 34 PPC at P.S Shahpurchakar.

2. Learned counsel for applicant contended that as per prosecution case, an encounter took place between the police and the accused persons. No police official sustained any injury during the alleged encounter; however, the applicant/accused himself sustained injuries. In the absence of any injury to a police official, the applicability of Section 324 PPC, requires further examination. Nevertheless, the question whether the ingredients of the said offence are attracted in the circumstances of the case is a matter to be determined by the learned trial Court after recording evidence. Moreover, the applicant/accused has no previous criminal record.

3. Learned Deputy Prosecutor General failed to convert such assertion, however opposed the bail.

4. In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, the role attributed to the applicant/accused is that of ineffective firing on police party and the

material available on record, the case of the applicant/accused calls for further inquiry within the meaning of Section 497(2) Cr.P.C.

5. For the foregoing reasons, the instant bail application is allowed and the applicant/accused Dilsher son of Ghulam Hyder is admitted to post-arrest bail, subject to furnishing surety in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) alongwith P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

6. It is clarified that the observations made herein are tentative in nature and confined strictly to the disposal of the instant bail application; they shall in no manner be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and shall not fetter the independent determination to be arrived at by the trial Court upon conclusion of trial.

JUDGE

“Salik”